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It is not known if there exists a uniformly bounded orthonormal basis of holomorphic polynomials in $\mathbb{S}^{2 m-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ for $m \geq 3$.
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These orthonormal sections are built by using linear combinations of reproducing kernels peaking at points situated in a lattice-like structure on $M$.

He raises the question whether using kernels peaking at Fekete points one may increase the size of the uniformly bounded orthonormal system of sections.

For $M=\mathbb{C P}^{m-1}$ and $L$ the hyperplane section bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ with the Fubini-Study metric one can identify

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{m-1}, L^{N}\right) \equiv \begin{aligned}
& \text { space of homogeneous holomorphic } \\
& \text { polynomials of degree } N \text { on } \mathbb{C}^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{1}, L^{N}\right) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{N} .
$$

The $L^{p}$ norm of a section is the corresponding norm of the polynomial over the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2 m-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$.

## Theorem

Let $L$ be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over a compact Kähler manifold $M$ with positive curvature. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is a constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, we can find orthonormal holomorphic sections:

$$
s_{1}^{N}, \ldots, s_{n_{N}}^{N} \in H^{0}\left(M, L^{N}\right), \quad n_{N} \geq(1-\varepsilon) \operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(M, L^{N}\right)
$$

such that $\left\|s_{j}^{N}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C_{\varepsilon}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n_{N}$ and for all $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.
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We denote by $b_{L}(z, w)$ the normalized reproducing kernels.

The main example is the sphere $M=\mathbb{S}^{m}$, where the $\phi_{i}$ are spherical harmonics and the spaces $E_{L}$ are the restriction to the sphere of the space of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$.
Our result is the following:

## Theorem

Given $\varepsilon>0$ and $L \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$there exist $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ and a set $\left\{s_{1}^{L}, \ldots, s_{n_{L}}^{L}\right\}$ of orthonormal functions in $E_{L}$ with $n_{L} \geq(1-\varepsilon) \operatorname{dim} E_{L}$ such that $\left\|s_{j}^{L}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \leq C_{\varepsilon}$, for all $L \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $1 \leq j \leq n_{L}$.

## Interpolation and Riesz sequences

For degree $L$ we take $n_{L}$ points in $M$

$$
\mathcal{Z}(L)=\left\{z_{L, j} \in M: 1 \leq j \leq n_{L}\right\}, \quad L \geq 0
$$

and assume that $n_{L} \rightarrow \infty$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$. This yields a triangular array of points $\mathcal{Z}=\{\mathcal{Z}(L)\}_{L \geq 0}$ in $M$.

## Definition

$\mathcal{Z}$ is interpolating if and only if the normalized reproducing kernel of $E_{L}$ at the points $\mathcal{Z}(L)$ form a Riesz sequence i.e.

$$
C^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{L}}\left|a_{L j}\right|^{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d}}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n_{L}} a_{L j} b_{L}\left(z, z_{L, j}\right)\right|^{2} d \sigma(z) \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n_{L}}\left|a_{L j}\right|^{2}
$$

for any $\left\{a_{L j}\right\}_{L, j}$ with $C>0$ independent of $L$. Observe $n_{L} \leq k_{L}$.
$\mathcal{Z}$ is interpolating if and only if the Gramian matrix

$$
G=\left(G_{i j}\right)_{i, j}=\left(\left\langle b_{L}\left(\cdot, z_{L, i}\right), b_{L}\left(\cdot, z_{L, j}\right)\right\rangle\right)_{i, j}=\left(L^{-m / 2} b_{L}\left(z_{L, i}, z_{L, j}\right)\right)_{i, j}
$$

gives a bounded operator in $\ell^{2}$ which is bounded below (uniformly in L).
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If one has "good estimates" for the kernel one can see that for $\ell=1, \ldots, n_{L}$
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where $\zeta=e^{2 \pi i / n_{L}}$ is bounded and ON.

## Problems to extend the results:

It is also known, see (Lev-Ortega-Cerdà (10)) that there are no Riesz basis of reproducing kernels in the space of sections of $H^{0}\left(M, L^{N}\right)$. Thus this approach cannot provide uniformly bounded orthonormal basis of sections in $H^{0}\left(M, L^{N}\right)$.

## Problems to extend the results:

It is also known, see (Lev-Ortega-Cerdà (10)) that there are no Riesz basis of reproducing kernels in the space of sections of $H^{0}\left(M, L^{N}\right)$. Thus this approach cannot provide uniformly bounded orthonormal basis of sections in $H^{0}\left(M, L^{N}\right)$.

For the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{m}$ :

## Theorem (Bannai, Damerell 79)

There is no array $\mathcal{Z}$ such that $\left\{K_{L}(\cdot, z) /\left\|K_{L}(\cdot, z)\right\|\right\}_{z \in \mathcal{Z}(L)}$ is an orthonormal basis for the space of spherical harmonics of degree at most $L, m \geq 2$ and $L \geq 3$.

## Problems to extend the results:

It is also known, see (Lev-Ortega-Cerdà (10)) that there are no Riesz basis of reproducing kernels in the space of sections of $H^{0}\left(M, L^{N}\right)$. Thus this approach cannot provide uniformly bounded orthonormal basis of sections in $H^{0}\left(M, L^{N}\right)$.

For the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{m}$ :

## Theorem (Bannai, Damerell 79)

There is no array $\mathcal{Z}$ such that $\left\{K_{L}(\cdot, z) /\left\|K_{L}(\cdot, z)\right\|\right\}_{z \in \mathcal{Z}(L)}$ is an orthonormal basis for the space of spherical harmonics of degree at most $L, m \geq 2$ and $L \geq 3$.

## Open problem

It is not known if there are Riesz basis of reproducing kernels in the spaces of spherical harmonics.

## How to get a "maximal" Riesz sequence

Fekete points (extremal systems)
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The next result provides us with Riesz sequences of reproducing kernels with cardinality almost optimal.

Theorem (M., Ortega-Cerdà, Pridhnani, Lev)
Given $\varepsilon>0$ let $L_{\epsilon}=\lfloor(1-\varepsilon) L\rfloor$ and
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where $\mathcal{Z}(L)$ is a set of Fekete points of degree $L$. Then the array $\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}=\left\{\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}(L)\right\}_{L \geq 0}$ is interpolating i.e. $\left\{b_{L}(\cdot, z)\right\}_{z \in \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon}(L)}$ form a Riesz sequence.
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For compact complex manifolds one can use directly these kernels to continue with the construction of flat sections. In the real setting the off-diagonal decay of the reproducing kernels is not fast enough. So we need to introduce better kernels.

## Changing the kernel

Given $0<\varepsilon \leq 1$ let $\beta_{\varepsilon}:[0,+\infty) \mapsto[0,1]$ be a nonincreasing $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ function such that $\beta_{\varepsilon}(x)=1$ for $x \in[0,1-\varepsilon]$ and $\beta_{\varepsilon}(x)=0$ if $x>1$. We consider the following Bochner-Riesz type kernels

$$
B_{L}^{\varepsilon}(z, w)=\sum_{k=1}^{k_{L}} \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\lambda_{i}}{L}\right) \phi_{k}(z) \overline{\phi_{k}(w)} .
$$
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Given $0<\varepsilon \leq 1$ let $\beta_{\varepsilon}:[0,+\infty) \mapsto[0,1]$ be a nonincreasing $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ function such that $\beta_{\varepsilon}(x)=1$ for $x \in[0,1-\varepsilon]$ and $\beta_{\varepsilon}(x)=0$ if $x>1$. We consider the following Bochner-Riesz type kernels

$$
B_{L}^{\varepsilon}(z, w)=\sum_{k=1}^{k_{L}} \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\lambda_{i}}{L}\right) \phi_{k}(z) \overline{\phi_{k}(w)} .
$$

When $\varepsilon=0$ we "recover" the reproducing kernel for $E_{L}$. Observe that $\left\|B_{L}^{\varepsilon}(\cdot, w)\right\|_{2}^{2} \sim L^{m}$ for any $w \in M$.
These kernels have better pointwise estimates (Filbir-Mhaskar (10))

$$
\left|B_{L}^{\varepsilon}(z, w)\right| \lesssim \frac{L^{m}}{(1+L d(z, w))^{N}}, \quad z, w \in M
$$

where one can take any $N>m$.

One can replace the reproducing kernels by the Bochner-Riesz type and still get a Riesz sequence:

## Lemma

Given $\varepsilon>0$ there exist a set of $n_{L, \varepsilon}$ points $\left\{z_{j}\right\}_{j=1, \ldots, n_{L, \varepsilon}}$ with $n_{L, \varepsilon} \geq(1-\varepsilon) \operatorname{dim} E_{L}$ such that the normalized Bochner-Riesz type kernels $\left\{b_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot, z_{j}\right)\right\}_{j=1, \ldots, n_{L, \varepsilon}}$ form a Riesz sequence (uniformly in $L$ ).

## Jaffard (90)

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space such that for all $\epsilon>0$ there exists $C_{\epsilon}$ such that

$$
\sup _{s \in X} \sum_{t \in X} \exp (-\epsilon d(s, t)) \leq C_{\epsilon}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\sup _{s \in X} \sum_{t \in X} \frac{1}{|1+d(s, t)|^{N}}<\infty
$$

and for $\alpha>N$ the matrix $A=(A(s, t))_{s, t \in X}$ is such that

$$
|A(s, t)| \leq \frac{C}{|1+d(s, t)|^{\alpha}}
$$

Then, if $A$ is invertible as an operator in $\ell^{2}$ the matrix $A^{-1}$ (and also $A^{-1 / 2}$ ) satisfies the same kind of bound and therefore it is bounded in $\ell^{p}$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ by Schur's Lemma.

We define the $n_{L, \varepsilon} \times n_{L, \varepsilon}$ Gramian matrix

$$
\Delta=\left(\Delta_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots, n_{L, \varepsilon}}, \text { where } \Delta_{i j}=\left\langle b_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot, z_{i}\right), b_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot, z_{j}\right)\right\rangle
$$

where the points $z_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, n_{L, \varepsilon}$ are given by the previous
Lemma.
This matrix defines a bounded operator in $\ell^{2}$ which is also bounded below (uniformly in $L$ ).
Because of the structure of the regularized kernel we have the following estimate for the entries of the Gramian:

$$
\left|\Delta_{i j}\right|=\frac{1}{k_{L}}\left|\int_{M} B_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(z, z_{i}\right) \overline{B_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(z, z_{j}\right)} d V(z)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\left(1+L d\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right)\right)^{N}} .
$$

Then as

## Proposition

For $\left\{z_{j}\right\} \subset M$ uniformly separated

$$
\sup _{i} \sum_{j} \frac{1}{\left(1+L d\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right)\right)^{N}} \lesssim 1
$$

One can apply Jaffard's result getting the estimates

$$
\left\|\Delta^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{\ell^{\infty} \rightarrow \ell^{\infty}} \leq \max _{i} \sum_{j}\left|\Delta_{i j}^{1 / 2}\right| \lesssim 1
$$

Denote $\Delta^{-1 / 2}=\left(B_{i j}\right)$ and define the orthonormal set of functions from $E_{L}$

$$
\Psi_{i}^{L}=\sum_{j} B_{i j} b_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot, z_{j}\right)
$$

Denote $\Delta^{-1 / 2}=\left(B_{i j}\right)$ and define the orthonormal set of functions from $E_{L}$

$$
\Psi_{i}^{L}=\sum_{j} B_{i j} b_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot, z_{j}\right)
$$

And then the polynomials

$$
s_{i}^{L}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{L, \varepsilon}}} \sum_{j} \zeta^{j i} \Psi_{j}^{L}
$$

where $\zeta=e^{2 \pi i / n_{L, \varepsilon}}$.
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\Psi_{i}^{L}=\sum_{j} B_{i j} b_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot, z_{j}\right)
$$

And then the polynomials

$$
s_{i}^{L}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{L, \varepsilon}}} \sum_{j} \zeta^{j i} \Psi_{j}^{L}
$$

where $\zeta=e^{2 \pi i / n_{L, \varepsilon}}$. They are orthonormal because

$$
\left\langle s_{i}^{L}, s_{k}^{L}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{n_{L, \varepsilon}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{L, \varepsilon}} \zeta^{j(i-k)}=\delta_{i k}, \quad 1 \leq i, k \leq n_{L, \varepsilon} .
$$

To verify that the $s_{i}^{L}$ are indeed uniformly bounded. Define the linear maps

$$
F_{L}: \mathbb{C}^{n_{L, \varepsilon}} \longrightarrow E_{L}, \quad v=\left(v_{i}\right) \mapsto \sum_{j} v_{j} b_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot, z_{j}\right)
$$

To verify that the $s_{i}^{L}$ are indeed uniformly bounded. Define the linear maps

$$
F_{L}: \mathbb{C}^{n_{L, \varepsilon}} \longrightarrow E_{L}, \quad v=\left(v_{i}\right) \mapsto \sum_{j} v_{j} b_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot, z_{j}\right)
$$

By the previous Proposition

$$
\sup _{z \in M} \sum_{j}\left|b_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(z, z_{j}\right)\right| \lesssim L^{-m / 2} \sup _{z \in M} \sum_{j}\left|B_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(z, z_{j}\right)\right| \lesssim L^{m / 2}
$$

To verify that the $s_{i}^{L}$ are indeed uniformly bounded. Define the linear maps

$$
F_{L}: \mathbb{C}^{n_{L, \varepsilon}} \longrightarrow E_{L}, \quad v=\left(v_{i}\right) \mapsto \sum_{j} v_{j} b_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot, z_{j}\right)
$$

By the previous Proposition

$$
\sup _{z \in M} \sum_{j}\left|b_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(z, z_{j}\right)\right| \lesssim L^{-m / 2} \sup _{z \in M} \sum_{j}\left|B_{L}^{\varepsilon}\left(z, z_{j}\right)\right| \lesssim L^{m / 2}
$$

So, finally we get

$$
\left\|s_{i}^{L}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{L, \varepsilon}}}\left\|F_{L}\right\|_{\ell \infty \rightarrow L^{\infty}(M)}\left\|\Delta^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{\ell \infty \rightarrow \ell^{\infty}} \lesssim 1
$$

for all $L \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $1 \leq i \leq n_{L, \varepsilon}$.
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