

# Continuous averaging

(1)

(2)

Heuristic arguments:

Let  $\hat{a}(z)$  be an analytic vector field and consider

$$\dot{z} = \hat{a}(z) \quad z \in M \quad (\text{m dim.})$$

We want a c.o.v.  $z \mapsto w(z, \hat{\delta})$ ;  $\hat{\delta} > 0$   
defined as a shift along solutions of

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \delta} = F(w, \delta) \quad w(z, 0) = z$$
$$0 \leq \delta \leq \hat{\delta}$$

Then we get

$$\dot{w} = a(w, \delta)$$

and we want an easy way to get a simpler "a"

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} = -[F, a]$$

(2)

(2)

where  $[v_1, v_2] = \partial_{v_2} v_1 - \partial_{v_1} v_2$ .

If the system we are considering is non-autonomous,

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial \delta} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} - [F, a]$$

$F$  is "more or less" arbitrary, but a good choice (Treschev's choice) is  $F = \sum a$  with  $\sum$  linear. (operator).

As a particular case, and the one he considers, suppose  $\hat{a} = \epsilon \hat{v}$ ,  $a = \epsilon v$ . with

$$v(z, t, \epsilon, \delta) = v^0 + \sum_{k>0} v^k(z, \epsilon, \delta) e^{ikt} + \sum_{k<0} v^k$$

$$= v^0 + v^+ + v^-$$

Then we could ~~take~~ choose  $\sum v = i(v^+ - v^-)$

Why??

③  
(2)

$$V_\delta = (\Sigma v)_t - \varepsilon [\Sigma v, v] \quad v(z, t, \varepsilon, 0) = \hat{v}(z, t, 0)$$

Expanding as Fourier series we get:

$$v_\delta^k = -|k| v^k + i\varepsilon \operatorname{sgn}(k) [v^0, v^k] + \varepsilon [\Sigma v, v^k] \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$v^k(z, \varepsilon, 0) = \hat{v}^k(z, \varepsilon) \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (\operatorname{sgn}(0) = 0)$$

if we neglect  ~~$\varepsilon$ -order~~  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$  terms and the initial system as initial condition, we get

$$v^k(z, \varepsilon, \delta) = e^{-|k|\delta} \hat{v}^k(z)$$

Now if  $\delta$  is increasing, high-freq. terms decrease.

If we just neglect  $\varepsilon [\Sigma v, v^k]$ , we can also solve,

$$v^k(z, \varepsilon, \delta) = e^{-|k|\delta} \hat{v}^k \circ \mathcal{G}^{i\varepsilon \delta \operatorname{sgn}(k)}(z)$$

$g(t)$  flow of  $\dot{z} = v^0(z)$ .

Here we have to work with complex time (that's why  $\mathbb{C}$  we asked for analyticity).

$\delta$  can be as big as  $\delta \sim \alpha/\varepsilon$  with  $\alpha = \text{Im}$  (closest singularity of  $g^t$  to the real axis)

Troesch's theorem:

Under some non-singular, non-degenerate conditions, there is a c.o.f. v.  $f(z, t, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$  in  $\varepsilon$  s.t. :

(i)  $f(z, t, \varepsilon) = z + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$

(ii)  $\varepsilon \hat{v} \xrightarrow{f} \varepsilon \hat{v}^0(z) + \varepsilon^2 \hat{v}_*(z, \varepsilon) + \tilde{v}(z, t, \varepsilon)$

and there is a  $C_0 > 0$  s.t.

$$|\tilde{v}(z, t, \varepsilon)| \leq C_0 e^{-\alpha/\varepsilon}$$

$t \in \Sigma_p$ ; " $z \in V$ "

where  $\alpha$  is related to an analyticity domain

Some ideas of the proof

(1)

(2)

The "heuristic" part required ~~the~~ dropping of terms of high order.

For the complete proof:

① Expand as Fourier series

↓

② You get an infinite system of coupled pde's

↓

③ Use the method of moments to prove existence of a solution for the whole system

③' MOREOVER, you should be able to sum the terms you get

④ ↑ These bounds need to be very sharp; in very concrete domains

⑤ Finally you compare the final system with the original system.

□

# Trescher's averaging and splitting of separatrices

(5)  
(2)

Trescher studied the case of

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial y}, \quad \dot{y} = -\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial x}$$

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}^0(x, y) + e^{it/\epsilon} \hat{H}^{(+1)}(x, y) + e^{-it/\epsilon} \hat{H}^{(-1)}(x, y)$$

$$\hat{H}^0 = y^2/2 - \cos x - 1 \quad \hat{H}^{\pm 1} = B_{\pm}^{\pm} e^{ix} + B_{\mp}^{\pm} e^{-ix}$$

$$(\overline{B_{\pm}^{\pm}} = B_{\mp}^{\mp}, \quad \overline{B_{\mp}^{\pm}} = B_{\pm}^{\mp})$$

i.e. pendulum with rapidly osc. susp. point

System close to  
PENDULUM.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \frac{\partial \hat{H}_0}{\partial y} \\ \dot{y} &= -\frac{\partial \hat{H}_0}{\partial x} \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } \epsilon \ll 1$$

Applying (i.e. solving the systems of pole's) the averaging method we get

$$\mathcal{A} = 4\sigma\epsilon |P^+(\epsilon)| + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^2)$$

where  $P^+(0) \neq 0$ ,  $P^+$  is a "Melnikov integral" and

$$\sigma \approx e^{-\pi/2\epsilon}$$

References : (D.V. Trexler) (Google, preprints in his page) <sup>(1)</sup>  
(2)

\* Sp. of. sep. for a pendulum w. rap. osc. susp. point  
(preprint in English) (Russ. J. M.P. 1998)

\* Cont. av. in Ham. systems  
(S'Agaró, 1995)

\* The method of. c.a. in the problem of  
sep. of fast & slow motions (1992)