

Fields of definition of elliptic k -curves with CM and Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces

Francesc Fité¹ Xevi Guitart²

¹Barcelona Graduate School of Mathematics (BGSMath)

²Universitat de Barcelona (UB)

December 2016

Outline

- 1 The Sato–Tate conjecture for elliptic curves
- 2 Sato–Tate for abelian varieties
- 3 Fields of definition of elliptic k -curves
- 4 A field realizing all Sato–Tate groups in dimension 2

Outline

- 1 The Sato–Tate conjecture for elliptic curves
- 2 Sato–Tate for abelian varieties
- 3 Fields of definition of elliptic k -curves
- 4 A field realizing all Sato–Tate groups in dimension 2

Elliptic Curves over number fields

- An elliptic curve over a number field k is a projective curve

$$E: yz^2 = x^3 + axz^2 + bz^3, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}_k$$

- The set of points $E(k)$ admits a natural structure of abelian group.
- An endomorphism of E is an algebraic map $\varphi: E \rightarrow E$ (given by polynomials) which induces a group endomorphism on $E(k)$.
- The set of endomorphisms of E is a ring, and

$$\text{End}(E) \cong \mathbb{Z} \text{ (resp. } \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \text{)}$$

if $\text{End}(E)$ is an order in an imaginary quadratic field M (E has CM by M).

- Given a prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ we can reduce the equation of E modulo \mathfrak{p}

$$\bar{E}: yz^2 = x^3 + \bar{a}xz^2 + \bar{b}z^3, \quad \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$$

$\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite field with q elements

$$\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{F}_q$$

$$q = p^f \text{ (} p \text{ prime, } f \geq 1 \text{)}$$

$$|\text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{F}_q)| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$$

Elliptic Curves over number fields

- An **elliptic curve** over a number field k is a projective curve

$$E: yz^2 = x^3 + axz^2 + bz^3, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}_k$$

- The set of points $E(k)$ admits a natural structure of abelian group.
- An **endomorphism** of E is an algebraic map $\varphi: E \rightarrow E$ (given by polynomials) which induces a group endomorphism on $E(k)$.
- The set of endomorphisms of E is a ring.

- Given a prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ we can reduce the equation of E modulo \mathfrak{p}

$$\bar{E}: yz^2 = x^3 + \bar{a}xz^2 + \bar{b}z^3, \quad \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$$

Elliptic Curves over number fields

- An **elliptic curve** over a number field k is a projective curve

$$E: yz^2 = x^3 + axz^2 + bz^3, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}_k$$

- The set of points $E(k)$ admits a natural structure of abelian group.
- An **endomorphism** of E is an algebraic map $\varphi: E \rightarrow E$ (given by polynomials) which induces a group endomorphism on $E(k)$.
- The set of endomorphisms of E is a ring

Endomorphisms of E are in one-to-one correspondence with quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ by

- Given a prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ we can reduce the equation of E modulo \mathfrak{p}

$$\bar{E}: yz^2 = x^3 + \bar{a}xz^2 + \bar{b}z^3, \quad \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$$

Elliptic Curves over number fields

- An **elliptic curve** over a number field k is a projective curve

$$E: yz^2 = x^3 + axz^2 + bz^3, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}_k$$

- The set of points $E(k)$ admits a natural structure of abelian group.
- An **endomorphism** of E is an algebraic map $\varphi: E \rightarrow E$ (given by polynomials) which induces a group endomorphism on $E(k)$.
- The set of endomorphisms of E is a ring, and
 - $\text{End}(E) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (generic case)
 - $\text{End}(E)$ an order in an imaginary quadratic field M (E has CM by M)
- Given a prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ we can reduce the equation of E modulo \mathfrak{p}

$$\bar{E}: yz^2 = x^3 + \bar{a}xz^2 + \bar{b}z^3, \quad \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$$

Elliptic Curves over number fields

- An **elliptic curve** over a number field k is a projective curve

$$E: yz^2 = x^3 + axz^2 + bz^3, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}_k$$

- The set of points $E(k)$ admits a natural structure of abelian group.
- An **endomorphism** of E is an algebraic map $\varphi: E \rightarrow E$ (given by polynomials) which induces a group endomorphism on $E(k)$.
- The set of endomorphisms of E is a ring, and
 - ▶ $\text{End}(E) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (generic case)
 - ▶ $\text{End}(E)$ an order in an imaginary quadratic field M (E has CM by M)
- Given a prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ we can reduce the equation of E modulo \mathfrak{p}

$$\bar{E}: yz^2 = x^3 + \bar{a}xz^2 + \bar{b}z^3, \quad \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite field with $|\mathfrak{p}|$ elements

Elliptic Curves over number fields

- An **elliptic curve** over a number field k is a projective curve

$$E: yz^2 = x^3 + axz^2 + bz^3, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}_k$$

- The set of points $E(k)$ admits a natural structure of abelian group.
- An **endomorphism** of E is an algebraic map $\varphi: E \rightarrow E$ (given by polynomials) which induces a group endomorphism on $E(k)$.
- The set of endomorphisms of E is a ring, and
 - ▶ $\text{End}(E) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (generic case)
 - ▶ $\text{End}(E)$ an order in an imaginary quadratic field M (E has CM by M)
- Given a prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ we can reduce the equation of E modulo \mathfrak{p}

$$\bar{E}: yz^2 = x^3 + \bar{a}xz^2 + \bar{b}z^3, \quad \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite field with $|\mathfrak{p}|$ elements
- ▶ $\bar{E}(\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p})$ is now a finite set

Elliptic Curves over number fields

- An **elliptic curve** over a number field k is a projective curve

$$E: yz^2 = x^3 + axz^2 + bz^3, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}_k$$

- The set of points $E(k)$ admits a natural structure of abelian group.
- An **endomorphism** of E is an algebraic map $\varphi: E \rightarrow E$ (given by polynomials) which induces a group endomorphism on $E(k)$.
- The set of endomorphisms of E is a ring, and
 - ▶ $\text{End}(E) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (generic case)
 - ▶ $\text{End}(E)$ an order in an imaginary quadratic field M (E has CM by M)
- Given a prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ we can reduce the equation of E modulo \mathfrak{p}

$$\bar{E}: yz^2 = x^3 + \bar{a}xz^2 + \bar{b}z^3, \quad \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite field with $|\mathfrak{p}|$ elements
- ▶ $\bar{E}(\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p})$ is now a finite set
- ▶ $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#\bar{E}(\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p})$
- ▶ Hasse bound: $|a_{\mathfrak{p}}| \leq 2\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}$

Elliptic Curves over number fields

- An **elliptic curve** over a number field k is a projective curve

$$E: yz^2 = x^3 + axz^2 + bz^3, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}_k$$

- The set of points $E(k)$ admits a natural structure of abelian group.
- An **endomorphism** of E is an algebraic map $\varphi: E \rightarrow E$ (given by polynomials) which induces a group endomorphism on $E(k)$.
- The set of endomorphisms of E is a ring, and
 - ▶ $\text{End}(E) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (generic case)
 - ▶ $\text{End}(E)$ an order in an imaginary quadratic field M (E has CM by M)
- Given a prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ we can reduce the equation of E modulo \mathfrak{p}

$$\bar{E}: yz^2 = x^3 + \bar{a}xz^2 + \bar{b}z^3, \quad \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite field with $|\mathfrak{p}|$ elements
- ▶ $\bar{E}(\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p})$ is now a finite set
- ▶ $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#\bar{E}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p})$
- ▶ Hasse bound: $|a_{\mathfrak{p}}| \leq 2\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}$

Elliptic Curves over number fields

- An **elliptic curve** over a number field k is a projective curve

$$E: yz^2 = x^3 + axz^2 + bz^3, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}_k$$

- The set of points $E(k)$ admits a natural structure of abelian group.
- An **endomorphism** of E is an algebraic map $\varphi: E \rightarrow E$ (given by polynomials) which induces a group endomorphism on $E(k)$.
- The set of endomorphisms of E is a ring, and
 - ▶ $\text{End}(E) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (generic case)
 - ▶ $\text{End}(E)$ an order in an imaginary quadratic field M (E has CM by M)
- Given a prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ we can reduce the equation of E modulo \mathfrak{p}

$$\bar{E}: yz^2 = x^3 + \bar{a}xz^2 + \bar{b}z^3, \quad \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite field with $|\mathfrak{p}|$ elements
- ▶ $\bar{E}(\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p})$ is now a finite set
- ▶ $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#\bar{E}(\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p})$
- ▶ Hasse bound: $|a_{\mathfrak{p}}| \leq 2\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}$

Elliptic Curves over number fields

- An **elliptic curve** over a number field k is a projective curve

$$E: yz^2 = x^3 + axz^2 + bz^3, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}_k$$

- The set of points $E(k)$ admits a natural structure of abelian group.
- An **endomorphism** of E is an algebraic map $\varphi: E \rightarrow E$ (given by polynomials) which induces a group endomorphism on $E(k)$.
- The set of endomorphisms of E is a ring, and
 - ▶ $\text{End}(E) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (generic case)
 - ▶ $\text{End}(E)$ an order in an imaginary quadratic field M (E has CM by M)
- Given a prime $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ we can reduce the equation of E modulo \mathfrak{p}

$$\bar{E}: yz^2 = x^3 + \bar{a}xz^2 + \bar{b}z^3, \quad \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite field with $|\mathfrak{p}|$ elements
- ▶ $\bar{E}(\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p})$ is now a finite set
- ▶ $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#\bar{E}(\mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p})$
- ▶ Hasse bound: $|a_{\mathfrak{p}}| \leq 2\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}$

Sato-Tate for elliptic curves

- k a number field and E/k an elliptic curve
- p a prime of $k \rightsquigarrow a_p := |p| + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$
- Hasse bound: $\bar{a}_p = \frac{a_p}{\sqrt{|p|}} \in [-2, 2]$
- Sato–Tate is about regarding $p \mapsto \bar{a}_p$ as a random variable, when p is uniformly distributed over the primes of k .
- infinitely many p 's, what does it mean to be uniformly distributed?
 - We fix n and consider p 's with $|p| \leq n$. There are infinitely many such p 's.
 - We want each \bar{a}_p with equal probability, as if \bar{a}_p is a random variable.
 - How can we model this? (Sato–Tate conjecture)
- Equidistribution with respect to a measure
 - X (compact Hausdorff space, \mathbb{C}^n or \mathbb{R}^n , $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R})
 - μ (Borel probability measure, $\mu(X) = 1$, μ continuous (push forward of μ))
 - $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence in X

Sato-Tate for elliptic curves

- k a number field and E/k an elliptic curve
- \mathfrak{p} a prime of $k \rightsquigarrow a_{\mathfrak{p}} := |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$
- Hasse bound: $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{a_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}} \in [-2, 2]$
- Sato-Tate is about regarding $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a random variable, when \mathfrak{p} is uniformly distributed over the primes of k .
- infinitely many \mathfrak{p} 's, what does it mean to be uniformly distributed?

- $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is real and complex, we need to pick one of them to study the distribution
- $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is real and complex, we need to pick one of them to study the distribution
- $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is real and complex, we need to pick one of them to study the distribution
- Equidistribution with respect to a measure

Equidistribution with respect to a measure

Equidistribution with respect to a measure

Equidistribution with respect to a measure

Sato-Tate for elliptic curves

- k a number field and E/k an elliptic curve
- \mathfrak{p} a prime of $k \rightsquigarrow a_{\mathfrak{p}} := |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$
- Hasse bound: $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{a_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}} \in [-2, 2]$
- Sato–Tate is about regarding $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a random variable, when \mathfrak{p} is uniformly distributed over the primes of k .
- infinitely many \mathfrak{p} 's, what does it mean to be uniformly distributed?

- Equidistribution with respect to a measure

Sato-Tate for elliptic curves

- k a number field and E/k an elliptic curve
- \mathfrak{p} a prime of $k \rightsquigarrow a_{\mathfrak{p}} := |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$
- Hasse bound: $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{a_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}} \in [-2, 2]$
- Sato–Tate is about regarding $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a random variable, when \mathfrak{p} is uniformly distributed over the primes of k .
- infinitely many \mathfrak{p} 's, what does it mean to be uniformly distributed?
 - fix N and consider \mathfrak{p} 's with $|\mathfrak{p}| \leq N$; there are finitely many
 - give each \mathfrak{p} equal probability, so $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a random variable
- Equidistribution with respect to a measure

Sato-Tate for elliptic curves

- k a number field and E/k an elliptic curve
- \mathfrak{p} a prime of $k \rightsquigarrow a_{\mathfrak{p}} := |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$
- Hasse bound: $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{a_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}} \in [-2, 2]$
- Sato–Tate is about regarding $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a random variable, when \mathfrak{p} is uniformly distributed over the primes of k .
- infinitely many \mathfrak{p} 's, what does it mean to be uniformly distributed?
 - ▶ fix N and consider \mathfrak{p} 's with $|\mathfrak{p}| \leq N$; there are finitely many
 - ▶ give each \mathfrak{p} equal probability, so $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a random variable
 - ▶ let $N \rightarrow \infty$ and see if there is a limiting distribution
- Equidistribution with respect to a measure

Sato-Tate for elliptic curves

- k a number field and E/k an elliptic curve
- \mathfrak{p} a prime of $k \rightsquigarrow a_{\mathfrak{p}} := |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$
- Hasse bound: $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{a_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}} \in [-2, 2]$
- Sato–Tate is about regarding $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a random variable, when \mathfrak{p} is uniformly distributed over the primes of k .
- infinitely many \mathfrak{p} 's, what does it mean to be uniformly distributed?
 - ▶ fix N and consider \mathfrak{p} 's with $|\mathfrak{p}| \leq N$; there are finitely many
 - ▶ give each \mathfrak{p} equal probability, so $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a random variable
 - ▶ let $N \rightarrow \infty$ and see if there is a limiting distribution
- Equidistribution with respect to a measure

Sato-Tate for elliptic curves

- k a number field and E/k an elliptic curve
- \mathfrak{p} a prime of $k \rightsquigarrow a_{\mathfrak{p}} := |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$
- Hasse bound: $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{a_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}} \in [-2, 2]$
- Sato–Tate is about regarding $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a random variable, when \mathfrak{p} is uniformly distributed over the primes of k .
- infinitely many \mathfrak{p} 's, what does it mean to be uniformly distributed?
 - ▶ fix N and consider \mathfrak{p} 's with $|\mathfrak{p}| \leq N$; there are finitely many
 - ▶ give each \mathfrak{p} equal probability, so $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a random variable
 - ▶ let $N \rightarrow \infty$ and see if there is a limiting distribution
- Equidistribution with respect to a measure
 - ▶ X compact topological space, $C(X) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ continuous}\}$

Sato-Tate for elliptic curves

- k a number field and E/k an elliptic curve
- \mathfrak{p} a prime of $k \rightsquigarrow a_{\mathfrak{p}} := |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$
- Hasse bound: $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{a_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}} \in [-2, 2]$
- Sato–Tate is about regarding $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a random variable, when \mathfrak{p} is uniformly distributed over the primes of k .
- infinitely many \mathfrak{p} 's, what does it mean to be uniformly distributed?
 - ▶ fix N and consider \mathfrak{p} 's with $|\mathfrak{p}| \leq N$; there are finitely many
 - ▶ give each \mathfrak{p} equal probability, so $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a random variable
 - ▶ let $N \rightarrow \infty$ and see if there is a limiting distribution
- Equidistribution with respect to a measure
 - ▶ X compact topological space, $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ continuous}\}$
 - ▶ Measure μ on X is $\mu: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous (positive and of mass 1)
 - ▶ Notation: $\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$
 - ▶ A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq X$

Sato-Tate for elliptic curves

- k a number field and E/k an elliptic curve
- \mathfrak{p} a prime of $k \rightsquigarrow a_{\mathfrak{p}} := |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$
- Hasse bound: $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{a_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}} \in [-2, 2]$
- Sato–Tate is about regarding $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a random variable, when \mathfrak{p} is uniformly distributed over the primes of k .
- infinitely many \mathfrak{p} 's, what does it mean to be uniformly distributed?
 - ▶ fix N and consider \mathfrak{p} 's with $|\mathfrak{p}| \leq N$; there are finitely many
 - ▶ give each \mathfrak{p} equal probability, so $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a random variable
 - ▶ let $N \rightarrow \infty$ and see if there is a limiting distribution
- Equidistribution with respect to a measure
 - ▶ X compact topological space, $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ continuous}\}$
 - ▶ Measure μ on X is $\mu: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous (positive and of mass 1)
 - ▶ Notation: $\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$
 - ▶ A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq X$

Sato-Tate for elliptic curves

- k a number field and E/k an elliptic curve
- p a prime of $k \rightsquigarrow a_p := |p| + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$
- Hasse bound: $\bar{a}_p = \frac{a_p}{\sqrt{|p|}} \in [-2, 2]$
- Sato–Tate is about regarding $p \mapsto \bar{a}_p$ as a random variable, when p is uniformly distributed over the primes of k .
- infinitely many p 's, what does it mean to be uniformly distributed?
 - ▶ fix N and consider p 's with $|p| \leq N$; there are finitely many
 - ▶ give each p equal probability, so \bar{a}_p is a random variable
 - ▶ let $N \rightarrow \infty$ and see if there is a limiting distribution
- Equidistribution with respect to a measure
 - ▶ X compact topological space, $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ continuous}\}$
 - ▶ Measure μ on X is $\mu: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous (positive and of mass 1)
 - ▶ Notation: $\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$
 - ▶ A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq X$ is equidistributed w.r.t. a measure μ if

$$\text{for every } f \in C(X) \quad \int f d\mu = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x_n)$$

Sato-Tate for elliptic curves

- k a number field and E/k an elliptic curve
- \mathfrak{p} a prime of $k \rightsquigarrow a_{\mathfrak{p}} := |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$
- Hasse bound: $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{a_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}} \in [-2, 2]$
- Sato–Tate is about regarding $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a random variable, when \mathfrak{p} is uniformly distributed over the primes of k .
- infinitely many \mathfrak{p} 's, what does it mean to be uniformly distributed?
 - ▶ fix N and consider \mathfrak{p} 's with $|\mathfrak{p}| \leq N$; there are finitely many
 - ▶ give each \mathfrak{p} equal probability, so $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a random variable
 - ▶ let $N \rightarrow \infty$ and see if there is a limiting distribution
- Equidistribution with respect to a measure
 - ▶ X compact topological space, $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ continuous}\}$
 - ▶ Measure μ on X is $\mu: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous (positive and of mass 1)
 - ▶ Notation: $\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$
 - ▶ A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq X$ is **equidistributed** w.r.t. a measure μ if

$$\text{for every } f \in C(X) : \int f d\mu = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i)$$

Sato-Tate for elliptic curves

- k a number field and E/k an elliptic curve
- \mathfrak{p} a prime of $k \rightsquigarrow a_{\mathfrak{p}} := |\mathfrak{p}| + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$
- Hasse bound: $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{a_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}} \in [-2, 2]$
- Sato–Tate is about regarding $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a random variable, when \mathfrak{p} is uniformly distributed over the primes of k .
- infinitely many \mathfrak{p} 's, what does it mean to be uniformly distributed?
 - ▶ fix N and consider \mathfrak{p} 's with $|\mathfrak{p}| \leq N$; there are finitely many
 - ▶ give each \mathfrak{p} equal probability, so $\bar{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a random variable
 - ▶ let $N \rightarrow \infty$ and see if there is a limiting distribution
- Equidistribution with respect to a measure
 - ▶ X compact topological space, $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ continuous}\}$
 - ▶ Measure μ on X is $\mu: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous (positive and of mass 1)
 - ▶ Notation: $\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$
 - ▶ A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq X$ is **equidistributed** w.r.t. a measure μ if

$$\text{for every } f \in C(X) : \int f d\mu = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i)$$

Equidistribution for Elliptic Curves

- Suppose that E/k does not have Complex Multiplication (CM)

Sato–Tate Conjecture

The sequence $\{\bar{a}_p\}_p$ of normalized Frobenius traces (ordered by $|p|$) is equidistributed in $[-2, 2]$ w.r.t the measure $\frac{4}{\pi}\sqrt{4-x^2}$.

- Proved for k totally real (Clozel–Harris–Taylor–Shepherd-Barron).
- (Hecke) If E has CM by an imaginary quadratic M
 - ▶ $M \subseteq k$: equidistributed by $\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}}$
 - ▶ $M \not\subseteq k$: by $\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0(x)$

Easy remark

The three distributions can be realized by curves over [the same field](#).

- For example, any imaginary quadratic M of class number 1
 - ▶ E_1/M without CM.
 - ▶ E_2/\mathbb{Q} with CM by M , and base change to M .
 - ▶ E_3/\mathbb{Q} with CM by $M' \neq M$, and base to M .

Equidistribution for Elliptic Curves

- Suppose that E/k does not have Complex Multiplication (CM)

Sato–Tate Conjecture

The sequence $\{\bar{a}_p\}_p$ of normalized Frobenius traces (ordered by $|p|$) is equidistributed in $[-2, 2]$ w.r.t the measure $\frac{4}{\pi}\sqrt{4-x^2}$.

- Proved for k totally real (Clozel–Harris–Taylor–Shepherd-Barron).
- (Hecke) If E has CM by an imaginary quadratic M
 - ▶ $M \subseteq k$: equidistributed by $\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}}$
 - ▶ $M \not\subseteq k$: by $\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0(x)$

Easy remark

The three distributions can be realized by curves over the same field.

- For example, any imaginary quadratic M of class number 1
 - ▶ E_1/M without CM.
 - ▶ E_2/\mathbb{Q} with CM by M , and base change to M .
 - ▶ E_3/\mathbb{Q} with CM by $M' \neq M$, and base to M .

Equidistribution for Elliptic Curves

- Suppose that E/k does not have Complex Multiplication (CM)

Sato–Tate Conjecture

The sequence $\{\bar{a}_p\}_p$ of normalized Frobenius traces (ordered by $|p|$) is equidistributed in $[-2, 2]$ w.r.t the measure $\frac{4}{\pi}\sqrt{4-x^2}$.

- Proved for k totally real (Clozel–Harris–Taylor–Shepherd-Barron).
- (Hecke) If E has CM by an imaginary quadratic M
 - ▶ $M \subseteq k$: equidistributed by $\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}}$
 - ▶ $M \not\subseteq k$: by $\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0(x)$

Easy remark

The three distributions can be realized by curves over [the same field](#).

- For example, any imaginary quadratic M of class number 1
 - ▶ E_1/M without CM.
 - ▶ E_2/\mathbb{Q} with CM by M , and base change to M .
 - ▶ E_3/\mathbb{Q} with CM by $M' \neq M$, and base to M .

Equidistribution for Elliptic Curves

- Suppose that E/k does not have Complex Multiplication (CM)

Sato–Tate Conjecture

The sequence $\{\bar{a}_p\}_p$ of normalized Frobenius traces (ordered by $|p|$) is equidistributed in $[-2, 2]$ w.r.t the measure $\frac{4}{\pi}\sqrt{4-x^2}$.

- Proved for k totally real (Clozel–Harris–Taylor–Shepherd-Barron).
- (Hecke) If E has CM by an imaginary quadratic M
 - ▶ $M \subseteq k$: equidistributed by $\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}}$
 - ▶ $M \not\subseteq k$: by $\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0(x)$

Easy remark

The three distributions can be realized by curves over the same field.

- For example, any imaginary quadratic M of class number 1
 - ▶ E_1/M without CM.
 - ▶ E_2/\mathbb{Q} with CM by M , and base change to M .
 - ▶ E_3/\mathbb{Q} with CM by $M' \neq M$, and base to M .

Equidistribution for Elliptic Curves

- Suppose that E/k does not have Complex Multiplication (CM)

Sato–Tate Conjecture

The sequence $\{\bar{a}_p\}_p$ of normalized Frobenius traces (ordered by $|p|$) is equidistributed in $[-2, 2]$ w.r.t the measure $\frac{4}{\pi}\sqrt{4-x^2}$.

- Proved for k totally real (Clozel–Harris–Taylor–Shepherd-Barron).
- (Hecke) If E has CM by an imaginary quadratic M
 - ▶ $M \subseteq k$: equidistributed by $\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}}$
 - ▶ $M \not\subseteq k$: by $\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0(x)$

Easy remark

The three distributions can be realized by curves over [the same](#) field.

- For example, any imaginary quadratic M of class number 1
 - ▶ E_1/M without CM.
 - ▶ E_2/\mathbb{Q} with CM by M , and base change to M .
 - ▶ E_3/\mathbb{Q} with CM by $M' \neq M$, and base to M .

Equidistribution for Elliptic Curves

- Suppose that E/k does not have Complex Multiplication (CM)

Sato–Tate Conjecture

The sequence $\{\bar{a}_p\}_p$ of normalized Frobenius traces (ordered by $|p|$) is equidistributed in $[-2, 2]$ w.r.t the measure $\frac{4}{\pi}\sqrt{4-x^2}$.

- Proved for k totally real (Clozel–Harris–Taylor–Shepherd-Barron).
- (Hecke) If E has CM by an imaginary quadratic M
 - ▶ $M \subseteq k$: equidistributed by $\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}}$
 - ▶ $M \not\subseteq k$: by $\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0(x)$

Easy remark

The three distributions can be realized by curves over [the same](#) field.

- For example, any imaginary quadratic M of class number 1
 - ▶ E_1/M without CM.
 - ▶ E_2/\mathbb{Q} with CM by M , and base change to M .
 - ▶ E_3/\mathbb{Q} with CM by $M' \neq M$, and base to M .

Outline

- 1 The Sato–Tate conjecture for elliptic curves
- 2 Sato–Tate for abelian varieties**
- 3 Fields of definition of elliptic k -curves
- 4 A field realizing all Sato–Tate groups in dimension 2

Abelian varieties

- An **abelian variety** is a complete algebraic variety whose set of points has a group structure.
 - ▶ Elliptic curves are the abelian varieties of dimension 1
 - ▶ Abelian varieties of dimension 2 are called **abelian surfaces**
- It is difficult to give equations of an abelian variety of $\dim > 1$
- C curve of genus g over $k \rightsquigarrow$ the Jacobian $J(C)$
 - ▶ $J(C)$ is an abelian variety over k of dimension g
 - ▶ $J(C) \cong \text{Pic}^0(C) \cong \text{Pic}(C)/\sim$
 - ▶ C is a curve of genus 2 $\rightsquigarrow J(C)$ is an abelian surface
- Abelian variety $A \rightsquigarrow \text{End}(A)$ the ring of endomorphisms
 - ▶ $\text{End}(A)$ is a ring of endomorphisms that are surjective
 - ▶ We work in a category where isogenies become isomorphisms

Abelian varieties

- An **abelian variety** is a complete algebraic variety whose set of points has a group structure.
 - ▶ Elliptic curves are the abelian varieties of dimension 1
 - ▶ Abelian varieties of dimension 2 are called **abelian surfaces**
- It is difficult to give equations of an abelian variety of $\dim > 1$
- C curve of genus g over $k \rightsquigarrow$ the Jacobian $J(C)$
 - ▶ $J(C)$ is an abelian variety of dimension g
 - ▶ $J(C) \cong \mathbb{G}_m^g / \sim$
 - ▶ C is a curve of genus 2 $\rightsquigarrow J(C)$ is an abelian surface
- Abelian variety $A \rightsquigarrow \text{End}(A)$ the ring of endomorphisms
 - ▶ $\text{End}(A)$ is a ring of endomorphisms that are subject to some relations
 - ▶ $\text{End}(A)$ is a k -algebra whose operations become commutative

Abelian varieties

- An **abelian variety** is a complete algebraic variety whose set of points has a group structure.
 - ▶ Elliptic curves are the abelian varieties of dimension 1
 - ▶ Abelian varieties of dimension 2 are called **abelian surfaces**
- It is difficult to give equations of an abelian variety of $\dim > 1$
- C curve of genus g over $k \rightsquigarrow$ the Jacobian $J(C)$
- Abelian variety $A \rightsquigarrow \text{End}(A)$ the ring of endomorphisms

Abelian varieties

- An **abelian variety** is a complete algebraic variety whose set of points has a group structure.
 - ▶ Elliptic curves are the abelian varieties of dimension 1
 - ▶ Abelian varieties of dimension 2 are called **abelian surfaces**
- It is difficult to give equations of an abelian variety of $\dim > 1$
- C curve of genus g over $k \rightsquigarrow$ the Jacobian $J(C)$
 - ▶ It is an abelian variety over k of dimension g
 - ▶ $J(C)(k) \simeq \text{Div}^0(C)/\sim$
 - ▶ $G = \text{Gal}(k^s/k) \curvearrowright J(C) \rightarrow J(C)^G \rightarrow J(C)^G/G$
- Abelian variety $A \rightsquigarrow \text{End}(A)$ the ring of endomorphisms

Abelian varieties

- An **abelian variety** is a complete algebraic variety whose set of points has a group structure.
 - ▶ Elliptic curves are the abelian varieties of dimension 1
 - ▶ Abelian varieties of dimension 2 are called **abelian surfaces**
- It is difficult to give equations of an abelian variety of $\dim > 1$
- C curve of genus g over $k \rightsquigarrow$ the Jacobian $J(C)$
 - ▶ It is an abelian variety over k of dimension g
 - ▶ $J(C)(k) \simeq \text{Div}^0(C) / \sim$
 - ▶ $C: y^2 = x^5 - x$ is of genus 2 $\rightsquigarrow J(C)$ is an abelian surface
- Abelian variety $A \rightsquigarrow \text{End}(A)$ the ring of endomorphisms

Abelian varieties

- An **abelian variety** is a complete algebraic variety whose set of points has a group structure.
 - ▶ Elliptic curves are the abelian varieties of dimension 1
 - ▶ Abelian varieties of dimension 2 are called **abelian surfaces**
- It is difficult to give equations of an abelian variety of $\dim > 1$
- C curve of genus g over $k \rightsquigarrow$ the Jacobian $J(C)$
 - ▶ It is an abelian variety over k of dimension g
 - ▶ $J(C)(k) \simeq \text{Div}^0(C)/\sim$
 - ▶ $C: y^2 = x^5 - x$ is of genus 2 $\rightsquigarrow J(C)$ is an abelian surface
- Abelian variety $A \rightsquigarrow \text{End}(A)$ the ring of endomorphisms

Abelian varieties

- An **abelian variety** is a complete algebraic variety whose set of points has a group structure.
 - ▶ Elliptic curves are the abelian varieties of dimension 1
 - ▶ Abelian varieties of dimension 2 are called **abelian surfaces**
- It is difficult to give equations of an abelian variety of $\dim > 1$
- C curve of genus g over $k \rightsquigarrow$ the Jacobian $J(C)$
 - ▶ It is an abelian variety over k of dimension g
 - ▶ $J(C)(k) \simeq \text{Div}^0(C)/\sim$
 - ▶ $C: y^2 = x^5 - x$ is of genus 2 $\rightsquigarrow J(C)$ is an abelian surface
- Abelian variety $A \rightsquigarrow \text{End}(A)$ the ring of endomorphisms
 - ▶ isogenies: those endomorphisms that are surjective

Abelian varieties

- An **abelian variety** is a complete algebraic variety whose set of points has a group structure.
 - ▶ Elliptic curves are the abelian varieties of dimension 1
 - ▶ Abelian varieties of dimension 2 are called **abelian surfaces**
- It is difficult to give equations of an abelian variety of $\dim > 1$
- C curve of genus g over $k \rightsquigarrow$ the Jacobian $J(C)$
 - ▶ It is an abelian variety over k of dimension g
 - ▶ $J(C)(k) \simeq \text{Div}^0(C)/\sim$
 - ▶ $C: y^2 = x^5 - x$ is of genus 2 $\rightsquigarrow J(C)$ is an abelian surface
- Abelian variety $A \rightsquigarrow \text{End}(A)$ the ring of endomorphisms
 - ▶ **isogenies**: those endomorphisms that are surjective.
 - ▶ We work in a category where isogenies become isomorphisms.

Abelian varieties

- An **abelian variety** is a complete algebraic variety whose set of points has a group structure.
 - ▶ Elliptic curves are the abelian varieties of dimension 1
 - ▶ Abelian varieties of dimension 2 are called **abelian surfaces**
- It is difficult to give equations of an abelian variety of $\dim > 1$
- C curve of genus g over $k \rightsquigarrow$ the Jacobian $J(C)$
 - ▶ It is an abelian variety over k of dimension g
 - ▶ $J(C)(k) \simeq \text{Div}^0(C)/\sim$
 - ▶ $C: y^2 = x^5 - x$ is of genus 2 $\rightsquigarrow J(C)$ is an abelian surface
- Abelian variety $A \rightsquigarrow \text{End}(A)$ the ring of endomorphisms
 - ▶ **isogenies**: those endomorphisms that are surjective.
 - ▶ We work in a category where isogenies become isomorphisms.

Abelian varieties

- An **abelian variety** is a complete algebraic variety whose set of points has a group structure.
 - ▶ Elliptic curves are the abelian varieties of dimension 1
 - ▶ Abelian varieties of dimension 2 are called **abelian surfaces**
- It is difficult to give equations of an abelian variety of $\dim > 1$
- C curve of genus g over $k \rightsquigarrow$ the Jacobian $J(C)$
 - ▶ It is an abelian variety over k of dimension g
 - ▶ $J(C)(k) \simeq \text{Div}^0(C)/\sim$
 - ▶ $C: y^2 = x^5 - x$ is of genus 2 $\rightsquigarrow J(C)$ is an abelian surface
- Abelian variety $A \rightsquigarrow \text{End}(A)$ the ring of endomorphisms
 - ▶ **isogenies**: those endomorphisms that are surjective.
 - ▶ We work in a category where isogenies become isomorphisms.

A reformulation of ST for Elliptic curves

- $\bar{a}_p \in [-2, 2] \iff \bar{L}_p(T) = T^2 - \bar{a}_p T + 1$ is the characteristic polynomial of a matrix in

$$\mathrm{USp}(2) = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \mathrm{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Moreover, the matrices in $\mathrm{USp}(2)$ with characteristic polynomial $\bar{L}_p(T)$ form a conjugacy class:

$$\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} [-2, 2] \quad \text{is a bijection}$$

- Equidistribution result can be stated in terms of $\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$.
- Any compact subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{USp}(2)$ gives rise to a measure in $\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$: the push forward of the Haar measure in G via

$$G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Conj}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2)).$$

Restatement of the Sato–Tate conjecture

$\{\bar{L}_p(T) = T^2 - \bar{a}_p T + 1\}_p \in \mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$ is equidistributed w.r.t

- $\mathrm{USp}(2)$; $\mathrm{SO}(2)$; Normalizer of $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ in $\mathrm{USp}(2)$.

A reformulation of ST for Elliptic curves

- $\bar{a}_p \in [-2, 2] \iff \bar{L}_p(T) = T^2 - \bar{a}_p T + 1$ is the characteristic polynomial of a matrix in

$$\mathrm{USp}(2) = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \mathrm{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Moreover, the matrices in $\mathrm{USp}(2)$ with characteristic polynomial $\bar{L}_p(T)$ form a conjugacy class:

$$\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} [-2, 2] \text{ is a bijection}$$

- Equidistribution result can be stated in terms of $\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$.
- Any compact subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{USp}(2)$ gives rise to a measure in $\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$: the push forward of the Haar measure in G via

$$G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Conj}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2)).$$

Restatement of the Sato–Tate conjecture

$\{\bar{L}_p(T) = T^2 - \bar{a}_p T + 1\}_p \in \mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$ is equidistributed w.r.t

- $\mathrm{USp}(2)$; $\mathrm{SO}(2)$; Normalizer of $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ in $\mathrm{USp}(2)$.

A reformulation of ST for Elliptic curves

- $\bar{a}_p \in [-2, 2] \iff \bar{L}_p(T) = T^2 - \bar{a}_p T + 1$ is the characteristic polynomial of a matrix in

$$\mathrm{USp}(2) = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \mathrm{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Moreover, the matrices in $\mathrm{USp}(2)$ with characteristic polynomial $\bar{L}_p(T)$ form a conjugacy class:

$$\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} [-2, 2] \quad \text{is a bijection}$$

- Equidistribution result can be stated in terms of $\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$.
- Any compact subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{USp}(2)$ gives rise to a measure in $\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$: the push forward of the Haar measure in G via

$$G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Conj}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2)).$$

Restatement of the Sato–Tate conjecture

$\{\bar{L}_p(T) = T^2 - \bar{a}_p T + 1\}_p \in \mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$ is equidistributed w.r.t

- $\mathrm{USp}(2)$; $\mathrm{SO}(2)$; Normalizer of $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ in $\mathrm{USp}(2)$.

A reformulation of ST for Elliptic curves

- $\bar{a}_p \in [-2, 2] \iff \bar{L}_p(T) = T^2 - \bar{a}_p T + 1$ is the characteristic polynomial of a matrix in

$$\mathrm{USp}(2) = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \mathrm{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Moreover, the matrices in $\mathrm{USp}(2)$ with characteristic polynomial $\bar{L}_p(T)$ form a conjugacy class:

$$\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} [-2, 2] \quad \text{is a bijection}$$

- Equidistribution result can be stated in terms of $\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$.
- Any compact subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{USp}(2)$ gives rise to a measure in $\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$: the push forward of the Haar measure in G via

$$G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Conj}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2)).$$

Restatement of the Sato–Tate conjecture

$\{\bar{L}_p(T) = T^2 - \bar{a}_p T + 1\}_p \in \mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$ is equidistributed w.r.t

- $\mathrm{USp}(2)$; $\mathrm{SO}(2)$; Normalizer of $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ in $\mathrm{USp}(2)$.

A reformulation of ST for Elliptic curves

- $\bar{a}_p \in [-2, 2] \iff \bar{L}_p(T) = T^2 - \bar{a}_p T + 1$ is the characteristic polynomial of a matrix in

$$\mathrm{USp}(2) = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \mathrm{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Moreover, the matrices in $\mathrm{USp}(2)$ with characteristic polynomial $\bar{L}_p(T)$ form a conjugacy class:

$$\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} [-2, 2] \quad \text{is a bijection}$$

- Equidistribution result can be stated in terms of $\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$.
- Any compact subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{USp}(2)$ gives rise to a measure in $\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$: the push forward of the Haar measure in G via

$$G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Conj}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2)).$$

Restatement of the Sato–Tate conjecture

$\{\bar{L}_p(T) = T^2 - \bar{a}_p T + 1\}_p \in \mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$ is equidistributed w.r.t

- $\mathrm{USp}(2)$; $\mathrm{SO}(2)$; Normalizer of $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ in $\mathrm{USp}(2)$.

A reformulation of ST for Elliptic curves

- $\bar{a}_p \in [-2, 2] \iff \bar{L}_p(T) = T^2 - \bar{a}_p T + 1$ is the characteristic polynomial of a matrix in

$$\mathrm{USp}(2) = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \mathrm{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Moreover, the matrices in $\mathrm{USp}(2)$ with characteristic polynomial $\bar{L}_p(T)$ form a conjugacy class:

$$\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} [-2, 2] \quad \text{is a bijection}$$

- Equidistribution result can be stated in terms of $\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$.
- Any compact subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{USp}(2)$ gives rise to a measure in $\mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$: the push forward of the Haar measure in G via

$$G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Conj}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2)).$$

Restatement of the Sato–Tate conjecture

$\{\bar{L}_p(T) = T^2 - \bar{a}_p T + 1\}_p \in \mathrm{Conj}(\mathrm{USp}(2))$ is equidistributed w.r.t

- $\mathrm{USp}(2)$; $\mathrm{SO}(2)$; Normalizer of $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ in $\mathrm{USp}(2)$.

The Sato–Tate group

- A/k abelian variety of dim g and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ prime, $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$
- (Weil) There is a polynomial $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = (1 - \alpha_1 T) \dots (1 - \alpha_{2g} T)$ s.t.
$$\#A(\mathbb{F}_q) = (1 - q^{1/2} \alpha_1^n) \dots (1 - q^{1/2} \alpha_{2g}^n), \quad \forall n \geq 1$$
- $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T/\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}) \rightsquigarrow$ unique element in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
$$\text{USp}(2g) = \{T \in \text{USp}(2g) : AT = L_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} T^{-1} L_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{conj}(\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}})\}$$
- Equidistribution of $\{\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T)\}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ Compact subgroup of $\text{USp}(2g) \rightsquigarrow$ measure in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
- Serre gives a construction that associates to any A/k a certain compact subgroup ST_A of $\text{USp}(2g)$, the **Sato–Tate group** of A

Generalized Sato–Tate conjecture

The polynomials $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T) \in \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$ are equidistributed with respect to the push forward of the Haar measure in ST_A under the map

$$\text{ST}_A \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{ST}_A) \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$$

- Elliptic curves: there are 3 possible distributions/Sato–Tate groups

The Sato–Tate group

- A/k abelian variety of dim g and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ prime, $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$
- (Weil) There is a polynomial $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = (1 - \alpha_1 T) \dots (1 - \alpha_{2g} T)$ s.t.

$$\#A(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_1^n) \dots (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_{2g}^n), \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

- $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T/\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}) \rightsquigarrow$ unique element in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
- Equidistribution of $\{\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T)\}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ Compact subgroup of $\text{USp}(2g) \rightsquigarrow$ measure in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
- Serre gives a construction that associates to any A/k a certain compact subgroup ST_A of $\text{USp}(2g)$, the **Sato–Tate group** of A

Generalized Sato–Tate conjecture

The polynomials $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T) \in \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$ are equidistributed with respect to the push forward of the Haar measure in ST_A under the map

$$\text{ST}_A \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{ST}_A) \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$$

- Elliptic curves: there are 3 possible distributions/Sato–Tate groups

The Sato–Tate group

- A/k abelian variety of dim g and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ prime, $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$
- (Weil) There is a polynomial $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = (1 - \alpha_1 T) \dots (1 - \alpha_{2g} T)$ s.t.

$$\#A(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_1^n) \dots (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_{2g}^n), \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

- $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T/\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}) \rightsquigarrow$ unique element in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - $\text{USp}(2g) = \{A \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- Equidistribution of $\{\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T)\}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - Compact subgroup of $\text{USp}(2g) \rightsquigarrow$ measure in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
- Serre gives a construction that associates to any A/k a certain compact subgroup ST_A of $\text{USp}(2g)$, the **Sato–Tate group** of A

Generalized Sato–Tate conjecture

The polynomials $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T) \in \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$ are equidistributed with respect to the push forward of the Haar measure in ST_A under the map

$$\text{ST}_A \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{ST}_A) \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$$

- Elliptic curves: there are 3 possible distributions/Sato–Tate groups

The Sato–Tate group

- A/k abelian variety of dim g and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ prime, $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$
- (Weil) There is a polynomial $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = (1 - \alpha_1 T) \dots (1 - \alpha_{2g} T)$ s.t.

$$\#A(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_1^n) \dots (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_{2g}^n), \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

- $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T/\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}) \rightsquigarrow$ unique element in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ $\text{USp}(2g) = \{A \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- Equidistribution of $\{\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T)\}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ Compact subgroup of $\text{USp}(2g) \rightsquigarrow$ measure in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
- Serre gives a construction that associates to any A/k a certain compact subgroup ST_A of $\text{USp}(2g)$, the **Sato–Tate group** of A

Generalized Sato–Tate conjecture

The polynomials $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T) \in \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$ are equidistributed with respect to the push forward of the Haar measure in ST_A under the map

$$\text{ST}_A \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{ST}_A) \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$$

- Elliptic curves: there are 3 possible distributions/Sato–Tate groups

The Sato–Tate group

- A/k abelian variety of dim g and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ prime, $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$
- (Weil) There is a polynomial $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = (1 - \alpha_1 T) \dots (1 - \alpha_{2g} T)$ s.t.

$$\#A(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_1^n) \dots (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_{2g}^n), \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

- $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T/\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}) \rightsquigarrow$ unique element in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ $\text{USp}(2g) = \{A \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- Equidistribution of $\{\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T)\}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ Compact subgroup of $\text{USp}(2g) \rightsquigarrow$ measure in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
- Serre gives a construction that associates to any A/k a certain compact subgroup ST_A of $\text{USp}(2g)$, the **Sato–Tate group** of A

Generalized Sato–Tate conjecture

The polynomials $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T) \in \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$ are equidistributed with respect to the push forward of the Haar measure in ST_A under the map

$$\text{ST}_A \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{ST}_A) \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$$

- Elliptic curves: there are 3 possible distributions/Sato–Tate groups

The Sato–Tate group

- A/k abelian variety of dim g and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ prime, $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$
- (Weil) There is a polynomial $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = (1 - \alpha_1 T) \dots (1 - \alpha_{2g} T)$ s.t.

$$\#A(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_1^n) \dots (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_{2g}^n), \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

- $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T/\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}) \rightsquigarrow$ unique element in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ $\text{USp}(2g) = \{A \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- Equidistribution of $\{\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T)\}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ Compact subgroup of $\text{USp}(2g) \rightsquigarrow$ measure in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
- Serre gives a construction that associates to any A/k a certain compact subgroup ST_A of $\text{USp}(2g)$, the **Sato–Tate group** of A
 - ▶ e.g. if $\dim A \leq 3$ and $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ then $\text{ST}_A = \text{USp}(2g)$

Generalized Sato–Tate conjecture

The polynomials $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T) \in \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$ are equidistributed with respect to the push forward of the Haar measure in ST_A under the map

$$\text{ST}_A \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{ST}_A) \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$$

- Elliptic curves: there are 3 possible distributions/Sato–Tate groups

The Sato–Tate group

- A/k abelian variety of dim g and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ prime, $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$
- (Weil) There is a polynomial $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = (1 - \alpha_1 T) \dots (1 - \alpha_{2g} T)$ s.t.

$$\#A(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_1^n) \dots (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_{2g}^n), \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

- $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T/\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}) \rightsquigarrow$ unique element in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ $\text{USp}(2g) = \{A \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- Equidistribution of $\{\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T)\}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ Compact subgroup of $\text{USp}(2g) \rightsquigarrow$ measure in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
- Serre gives a construction that associates to any A/k a certain compact subgroup ST_A of $\text{USp}(2g)$, the **Sato–Tate group** of A
 - ▶ e.g. if $\dim A \leq 3$ and $\text{End}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ then $\text{ST}_A = \text{USp}(2g)$

Generalized Sato–Tate conjecture

The polynomials $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T) \in \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$ are equidistributed with respect to the push forward of the Haar measure in ST_A under the map

$$\text{ST}_A \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{ST}_A) \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$$

- Elliptic curves: there are 3 possible distributions/Sato–Tate groups

The Sato–Tate group

- A/k abelian variety of dim g and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ prime, $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$
- (Weil) There is a polynomial $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = (1 - \alpha_1 T) \dots (1 - \alpha_{2g} T)$ s.t.

$$\#A(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_1^n) \dots (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_{2g}^n), \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

- $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T/\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}) \rightsquigarrow$ unique element in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ $\text{USp}(2g) = \{A \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- Equidistribution of $\{\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T)\}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ Compact subgroup of $\text{USp}(2g) \rightsquigarrow$ measure in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
- Serre gives a construction that associates to any A/k a certain compact subgroup ST_A of $\text{USp}(2g)$, the **Sato–Tate group** of A
 - ▶ e.g. if $\dim A \leq 3$ and $\text{End}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ then $\text{ST}_A = \text{USp}(2g)$

Generalized Sato–Tate conjecture

The polynomials $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T) \in \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$ are equidistributed with respect to the push forward of the Haar measure in ST_A under the map

$$\text{ST}_A \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{ST}_A) \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$$

- Elliptic curves: there are 3 possible distributions/Sato–Tate groups

The Sato–Tate group

- A/k abelian variety of dim g and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ prime, $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$
- (Weil) There is a polynomial $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = (1 - \alpha_1 T) \dots (1 - \alpha_{2g} T)$ s.t.

$$\#A(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_1^n) \dots (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_{2g}^n), \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

- $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T/\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}) \rightsquigarrow$ unique element in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ $\text{USp}(2g) = \{A \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- Equidistribution of $\{\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T)\}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ Compact subgroup of $\text{USp}(2g) \rightsquigarrow$ measure in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
- Serre gives a construction that associates to any A/k a certain compact subgroup ST_A of $\text{USp}(2g)$, the **Sato–Tate group** of A
 - ▶ e.g. if $\dim A \leq 3$ and $\text{End}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ then $\text{ST}_A = \text{USp}(2g)$

Generalized Sato–Tate conjecture

The polynomials $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T) \in \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$ are equidistributed with respect to the push forward of the Haar measure in ST_A under the map

$$\text{ST}_A \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{ST}_A) \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$$

- Elliptic curves: there are 3 possible distributions/Sato–Tate groups

The Sato–Tate group

- A/k abelian variety of dim g and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ prime, $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$
- (Weil) There is a polynomial $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = (1 - \alpha_1 T) \dots (1 - \alpha_{2g} T)$ s.t.

$$\#A(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_1^n) \dots (1 - q^{n/2} \alpha_{2g}^n), \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

- $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = L_{\mathfrak{p}}(T/\sqrt{|\mathfrak{p}|}) \rightsquigarrow$ unique element in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ $\text{USp}(2g) = \{A \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{C}) : AA^* = I, A^t J A = J\}, \quad J = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- Equidistribution of $\{\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T)\}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
 - ▶ Compact subgroup of $\text{USp}(2g) \rightsquigarrow$ measure in $\text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$
- Serre gives a construction that associates to any A/k a certain compact subgroup ST_A of $\text{USp}(2g)$, the **Sato–Tate group** of A
 - ▶ e.g. if $\dim A \leq 3$ and $\text{End}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ then $\text{ST}_A = \text{USp}(2g)$

Generalized Sato–Tate conjecture

The polynomials $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(A, T) \in \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$ are equidistributed with respect to the push forward of the Haar measure in ST_A under the map

$$\text{ST}_A \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{ST}_A) \longrightarrow \text{Conj}(\text{USp}(2g))$$

- Elliptic curves: there are 3 possible distributions/Sato–Tate groups

The case of dimension 2

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

There are 52 groups (up to conjugacy in $\mathrm{USp}(4)$) that arise as Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces over number fields.

- An explicit list of 52 subgroups of $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ s.t. for every abelian surface A over a number field, ST_A is conjugate to one of these.
- For every G in the list, they exhibit an abelian surface A over a number field such that $\mathrm{ST}_A = G$

(The number field is not always the same, it depends on G .)

Does there exist a number field k_0 such that there exist 52 abelian surfaces A_i over k_0 such that ST_{A_i} are all the 52 Sato–Tate groups?

- First idea: take k_0 the compositum of the fields of definition of the 52 curves of [FKRS12], and base change the curves to k_0
- How to determine the Sato–Tate group of an abelian surface A ?

The case of dimension 2

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

There are 52 groups (up to conjugacy in $\mathrm{USp}(4)$) that arise as Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces over number fields.

- An explicit list of 52 subgroups of $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ s.t. for every abelian surface A over a number field, ST_A is conjugate to one of these.
 - For every G in the list, they exhibit an abelian surface A over a number field such that $\mathrm{ST}_A = G$
-
- First idea: take k_0 the compositum of the fields of definition of the 52 curves of [FKRS12], and base change the curves to k_0
 - How to determine the Sato–Tate group of an abelian surface A ?

The case of dimension 2

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

There are 52 groups (up to conjugacy in $\mathrm{USp}(4)$) that arise as Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces over number fields.

- An explicit list of 52 subgroups of $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ s.t. for every abelian surface A over a number field, ST_A is conjugate to one of these.
 - For every G in the list, they exhibit an abelian surface A over a number field such that $\mathrm{ST}_A = G$
 - The number field is not always the same, it depends on G ...
-
- First idea: take k_0 the compositum of the fields of definition of the 52 curves of [FKRS12], and base change the curves to k_0
 - How to determine the Sato–Tate group of an abelian surface A ?

The case of dimension 2

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

There are 52 groups (up to conjugacy in $\mathrm{USp}(4)$) that arise as Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces over number fields.

- An explicit list of 52 subgroups of $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ s.t. for every abelian surface A over a number field, ST_A is conjugate to one of these.
- For every G in the list, they exhibit an abelian surface A over a number field such that $\mathrm{ST}_A = G$
 - ▶ The number field is not always the same, it depends on G ...

Question

Does there exist a number field k_0 such that there exist 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all possible Sato–Tate groups?

- First idea: take k_0 the compositum of the fields of definition of the 52 curves of [FKRS12], and base change the curves to k_0
- How to determine the Sato–Tate group of an abelian surface A ?

The case of dimension 2

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

There are 52 groups (up to conjugacy in $\mathrm{USp}(4)$) that arise as Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces over number fields.

- An explicit list of 52 subgroups of $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ s.t. for every abelian surface A over a number field, ST_A is conjugate to one of these.
- For every G in the list, they exhibit an abelian surface A over a number field such that $\mathrm{ST}_A = G$
 - ▶ The number field is not always the same, it depends on G ...

Question

Does there exist a number field k_0 such that there exist 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all possible Sato–Tate groups?

- First idea: take k_0 the compositum of the fields of definition of the 52 curves of [FKRS12], and base change the curves to k_0
- How to determine the Sato–Tate group of an abelian surface A ?

The case of dimension 2

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

There are 52 groups (up to conjugacy in $\mathrm{USp}(4)$) that arise as Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces over number fields.

- An explicit list of 52 subgroups of $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ s.t. for every abelian surface A over a number field, ST_A is conjugate to one of these.
- For every G in the list, they exhibit an abelian surface A over a number field such that $\mathrm{ST}_A = G$
 - ▶ The number field is not always the same, it depends on G ...

Question

Does there exist a number field k_0 such that there exist 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all possible Sato–Tate groups?

- First idea: take k_0 the compositum of the fields of definition of the 52 curves of [FKRS12], and base change the curves to k_0
 - If it doesn't work, the Sato–Tate group is sensitive to base change
- How to determine the Sato–Tate group of an abelian surface A ?

The case of dimension 2

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

There are 52 groups (up to conjugacy in $\mathrm{USp}(4)$) that arise as Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces over number fields.

- An explicit list of 52 subgroups of $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ s.t. for every abelian surface A over a number field, ST_A is conjugate to one of these.
- For every G in the list, they exhibit an abelian surface A over a number field such that $\mathrm{ST}_A = G$
 - ▶ The number field is not always the same, it depends on G ...

Question

Does there exist a number field k_0 such that there exist 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all possible Sato–Tate groups?

- First idea: take k_0 the compositum of the fields of definition of the 52 curves of [FKRS12], and base change the curves to k_0
 - ▶ It doesn't work, the Sato–Tate group is sensitive to base change
- How to determine the Sato–Tate group of an abelian surface A ?

The case of dimension 2

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

There are 52 groups (up to conjugacy in $\mathrm{USp}(4)$) that arise as Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces over number fields.

- An explicit list of 52 subgroups of $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ s.t. for every abelian surface A over a number field, ST_A is conjugate to one of these.
- For every G in the list, they exhibit an abelian surface A over a number field such that $\mathrm{ST}_A = G$
 - ▶ The number field is not always the same, it depends on G ...

Question

Does there exist a number field k_0 such that there exist 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all possible Sato–Tate groups?

- First idea: take k_0 the compositum of the fields of definition of the 52 curves of [FKRS12], and base change the curves to k_0
 - ▶ It doesn't work, the Sato–Tate group is sensitive to base change
- How to determine the Sato–Tate group of an abelian surface A ?

The case of dimension 2

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

There are 52 groups (up to conjugacy in $\mathrm{USp}(4)$) that arise as Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces over number fields.

- An explicit list of 52 subgroups of $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ s.t. for every abelian surface A over a number field, ST_A is conjugate to one of these.
- For every G in the list, they exhibit an abelian surface A over a number field such that $\mathrm{ST}_A = G$
 - ▶ The number field is not always the same, it depends on G ...

Question

Does there exist a number field k_0 such that there exist 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all possible Sato–Tate groups?

- First idea: take k_0 the compositum of the fields of definition of the 52 curves of [FKRS12], and base change the curves to k_0
 - ▶ It doesn't work, the Sato–Tate group is sensitive to base change
- How to determine the Sato–Tate group of an abelian surface A ?

The Sato–Tate group via the arithmetic of A

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

The Sato–Tate group of A/k is completely determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, viewed as a G_k -module. Moreover

- $(\text{ST}_A)^{\circ}$ is determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (as an \mathbb{R} -algebra)
- $\text{ST}_A / (\text{ST}_A)^{\circ} \simeq \text{Gal}(L/k)$ (smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}})$)

- The G_k -module $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is called the **Galois type** of A .
- [FKRS12]: dictionary between Galois types and Sato–Tate groups
- Observe that the component group is sensitive to base change
• Example: $\text{ST}_A \neq \text{ST}_{A_{\bar{k}}}$ when $\text{ST}_A \neq \text{ST}_{A_{\bar{k}}}$
• Base change is helpful, but it does not completely solve the problem
- To sum up: we need to find k_0 and construct 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all 52 possible Galois endomorphism types

• Question: How many Galois endomorphism types are there?

• Question: How many Galois endomorphism types are there?

The Sato–Tate group via the arithmetic of A

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

The Sato–Tate group of A/k is completely determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, viewed as a G_k -module. Moreover

- $(\text{ST}_A)^0$ is determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (as an \mathbb{R} -algebra)
- $\text{ST}_A/(\text{ST}_A)^0 \simeq \text{Gal}(L/k)$ (smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}})$)
- The G_k -module $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is called the **Galois type** of A .
- [FKRS12]: dictionary between Galois types and Sato–Tate groups
- Observe that the component group is sensitive to base change
- To sum up: we need to find k_0 and construct 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all 52 possible Galois endomorphism types

The Sato–Tate group via the arithmetic of A

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

The Sato–Tate group of A/k is completely determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, viewed as a G_k -module. Moreover

- $(\text{ST}_A)^0$ is determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (as an \mathbb{R} -algebra)
- $\text{ST}_A/(\text{ST}_A)^0 \simeq \text{Gal}(L/k)$ (smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}})$)

- The G_k -module $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is called the **Galois type** of A .
- [FKRS12]: dictionary between Galois types and Sato–Tate groups
- Observe that the component group is sensitive to base change

- To sum up: we need to find k_0 and construct 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all 52 possible Galois endomorphism types

The Sato–Tate group via the arithmetic of A

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

The Sato–Tate group of A/k is completely determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, viewed as a G_k -module. Moreover

- $(\text{ST}_A)^0$ is determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (as an \mathbb{R} -algebra)
- $\text{ST}_A / (\text{ST}_A)^0 \simeq \text{Gal}(L/k)$ (smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}})$)
- The G_k -module $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is called the **Galois type** of A .
- [FKRS12]: dictionary between Galois types and Sato–Tate groups
- Observe that the component group is sensitive to base change
- To sum up: we need to find k_0 and construct 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all 52 possible Galois endomorphism types

The Sato–Tate group via the arithmetic of A

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

The Sato–Tate group of A/k is completely determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, viewed as a G_k -module. Moreover

- $(\text{ST}_A)^0$ is determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (as an \mathbb{R} -algebra)
- $\text{ST}_A / (\text{ST}_A)^0 \simeq \text{Gal}(L/k)$ (smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}})$)
- The G_k -module $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is called the **Galois type** of A .
- [FKRS12]: dictionary between Galois types and Sato–Tate groups
- Observe that the component group is sensitive to base change
 - But if $k' \cap L = k$ then $\text{ST}_{A_{k'}} = \text{ST}_A$
- To sum up: we need to find k_0 and construct 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all 52 possible Galois endomorphism types

The Sato–Tate group via the arithmetic of A

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

The Sato–Tate group of A/k is completely determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, viewed as a G_k -module. Moreover

- $(\text{ST}_A)^0$ is determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (as an \mathbb{R} -algebra)
- $\text{ST}_A / (\text{ST}_A)^0 \simeq \text{Gal}(L/k)$ (smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}})$)

- The G_k -module $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is called the **Galois type** of A .
- [FKRS12]: dictionary between Galois types and Sato–Tate groups
- Observe that the component group is sensitive to base change
 - ▶ But if $k' \cap L = k$ then $\text{ST}_{A_{k'}} = \text{ST}_A$
 - ▶ Base change is helpful, but it does not completely solve the problem
- To sum up: we need to find k_0 and construct 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all 52 possible Galois endomorphism types

The Sato–Tate group via the arithmetic of A

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

The Sato–Tate group of A/k is completely determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, viewed as a G_k -module. Moreover

- $(\text{ST}_A)^0$ is determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (as an \mathbb{R} -algebra)
- $\text{ST}_A / (\text{ST}_A)^0 \simeq \text{Gal}(L/k)$ (smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}})$)
- The G_k -module $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is called the **Galois type** of A .
- [FKRS12]: dictionary between Galois types and Sato–Tate groups
- Observe that the component group is sensitive to base change
 - ▶ But if $k' \cap L = k$ then $\text{ST}_{A_{k'}} = \text{ST}_A$
 - ▶ Base change is helpful, but it does not completely solve the problem
- To sum up: we need to find k_0 and construct 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all 52 possible Galois endomorphism types

The Sato–Tate group via the arithmetic of A

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

The Sato–Tate group of A/k is completely determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, viewed as a G_k -module. Moreover

- $(\text{ST}_A)^0$ is determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (as an \mathbb{R} -algebra)
- $\text{ST}_A / (\text{ST}_A)^0 \simeq \text{Gal}(L/k)$ (smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}})$)

- The G_k -module $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is called the **Galois type** of A .
- [FKRS12]: dictionary between Galois types and Sato–Tate groups
- Observe that the component group is sensitive to base change
 - ▶ But if $k' \cap L = k$ then $\text{ST}_{A_{k'}} = \text{ST}_A$
 - ▶ Base change is helpful, but it does not completely solve the problem
- To sum up: we need to find k_0 and construct 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all 52 possible Galois endomorphism types
 - ▶ What kind of arithmetic problems this leads to?

The Sato–Tate group via the arithmetic of A

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

The Sato–Tate group of A/k is completely determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, viewed as a G_k -module. Moreover

- $(\text{ST}_A)^0$ is determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (as an \mathbb{R} -algebra)
- $\text{ST}_A / (\text{ST}_A)^0 \simeq \text{Gal}(L/k)$ (smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}})$)

- The G_k -module $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is called the **Galois type** of A .
- [FKRS12]: dictionary between Galois types and Sato–Tate groups
- Observe that the component group is sensitive to base change
 - ▶ But if $k' \cap L = k$ then $\text{ST}_{A_{k'}} = \text{ST}_A$
 - ▶ Base change is helpful, but it does not completely solve the problem
- To sum up: we need to find k_0 and construct 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all 52 possible Galois endomorphism types
 - ▶ What kind of arithmetic problems this leads to?
 - ▶ Why controlling fields of definition of CM curves is important?

The Sato–Tate group via the arithmetic of A

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

The Sato–Tate group of A/k is completely determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, viewed as a G_k -module. Moreover

- $(\text{ST}_A)^0$ is determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (as an \mathbb{R} -algebra)
- $\text{ST}_A / (\text{ST}_A)^0 \simeq \text{Gal}(L/k)$ (smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}})$)
- The G_k -module $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is called the **Galois type** of A .
- [FKRS12]: dictionary between Galois types and Sato–Tate groups
- Observe that the component group is sensitive to base change
 - ▶ But if $k' \cap L = k$ then $\text{ST}_{A_{k'}} = \text{ST}_A$
 - ▶ Base change is helpful, but it does not completely solve the problem
- To sum up: we need to find k_0 and construct 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all 52 possible Galois endomorphism types
 - ▶ What kind of arithmetic problems this leads to?
 - ▶ Why controlling fields of definition of CM curves is important?

The Sato–Tate group via the arithmetic of A

Theorem (Fité–Kedlaya–Rotger–Sutherland)

The Sato–Tate group of A/k is completely determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, viewed as a G_k -module. Moreover

- $(\text{ST}_A)^0$ is determined by $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (as an \mathbb{R} -algebra)
- $\text{ST}_A / (\text{ST}_A)^0 \simeq \text{Gal}(L/k)$ (smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}})$)
- The G_k -module $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is called the **Galois type** of A .
- [FKRS12]: dictionary between Galois types and Sato–Tate groups
- Observe that the component group is sensitive to base change
 - ▶ But if $k' \cap L = k$ then $\text{ST}_{A_{k'}} = \text{ST}_A$
 - ▶ Base change is helpful, but it does not completely solve the problem
- To sum up: we need to find k_0 and construct 52 abelian surfaces over k_0 realizing all 52 possible Galois endomorphism types
 - ▶ What kind of arithmetic problems this leads to?
 - ▶ Why controlling fields of definition of CM curves is important?

A possible obstruction

- There are three Sato–Tate groups, called O , O_1 , and $J(O)$, whose component group contains S_4 .
- If A/k has one of these Sato–Tate groups $\rightsquigarrow \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4$
- This implies that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ with E a CM curve, say by M
- [FKRS12] prove that
 - ▶ If the group is O then $M \subseteq k$
 - ▶ If the group is O_1 or $J(O)$, then $M \not\subseteq k$
- So far all examples in the literature of abelian surfaces A/k s.t.

$$A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2 \text{ and } \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4 \tag{1}$$

had $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.

- Are there examples with $M \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$?

Example: $A = \text{Jac}(C)$ with $C: y^2 = x^4 - 2x^2 + 1$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.
The Sato–Tate group of A is O_1 and $\text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4$.
The CM field M is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.

A possible obstruction

- There are three Sato–Tate groups, called O , O_1 , and $J(O)$, whose component group contains S_4 .
- If A/k has one of these Sato–Tate groups $\rightsquigarrow \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4$
- This implies that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ with E a CM curve, say by M
- [FKRS12] prove that
 - ▶ If the group is O then $M \subseteq k$
 - ▶ If the group is O_1 or $J(O)$, then $M \not\subseteq k$
- So far all examples in the literature of abelian surfaces A/k s.t.

$$A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2 \text{ and } \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4 \quad (1)$$

had $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.

- Are there examples with $M \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$?

A possible obstruction

- There are three Sato–Tate groups, called O , O_1 , and $J(O)$, whose component group contains S_4 .
- If A/k has one of these Sato–Tate groups $\rightsquigarrow \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4$
- This implies that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ with E a CM curve, say by M
- [FKRS12] prove that
 - ▶ If the group is O then $M \subseteq k$
 - ▶ If the group is O_1 or $J(O)$, then $M \not\subseteq k$
- So far all examples in the literature of abelian surfaces A/k s.t.

$$A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2 \text{ and } \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4 \quad (1)$$

had $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.

- Are there examples with $M \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$?

A possible obstruction

- There are three Sato–Tate groups, called O , O_1 , and $J(O)$, whose component group contains S_4 .
- If A/k has one of these Sato–Tate groups $\rightsquigarrow \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4$
- This implies that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ with E a CM curve, say by M
- [FKRS12] prove that
 - ▶ If the group is O then $M \subseteq k$
 - ▶ If the group is O_1 or $J(O)$, then $M \not\subseteq k$
- So far all examples in the literature of abelian surfaces A/k s.t.

$$A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2 \text{ and } \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4 \quad (1)$$

had $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.

- Are there examples with $M \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$?

A possible obstruction

- There are three Sato–Tate groups, called O , O_1 , and $J(O)$, whose component group contains S_4 .
- If A/k has one of these Sato–Tate groups $\rightsquigarrow \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4$
- This implies that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ with E a CM curve, say by M
- [FKRS12] prove that
 - ▶ If the group is O then $M \subseteq k$
 - ▶ If the group is O_1 or $J(O)$, then $M \not\subseteq k$
- So far all examples in the literature of abelian surfaces A/k s.t.

$$A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2 \text{ and } \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4 \quad (1)$$

had $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.

- Are there examples with $M \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$?

A possible obstruction

- There are three Sato–Tate groups, called O , O_1 , and $J(O)$, whose component group contains S_4 .
- If A/k has one of these Sato–Tate groups $\rightsquigarrow \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4$
- This implies that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ with E a CM curve, say by M
- [FKRS12] prove that
 - ▶ If the group is O then $M \subseteq k$
 - ▶ If the group is O_1 or $J(O)$, then $M \not\subseteq k$
- So far all examples in the literature of abelian surfaces A/k s.t.

$$A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2 \text{ and } \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4 \quad (1)$$

had $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.

- Are there examples with $M \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$?
 - If not, one could not realize all Sato–Tate groups over a single k_0
 - one would have $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \subseteq k_0$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \not\subseteq k_0$ at the same time!

A possible obstruction

- There are three Sato–Tate groups, called O , O_1 , and $J(O)$, whose component group contains S_4 .
- If A/k has one of these Sato–Tate groups $\rightsquigarrow \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4$
- This implies that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ with E a CM curve, say by M
- [FKRS12] prove that
 - ▶ If the group is O then $M \subseteq k$
 - ▶ If the group is O_1 or $J(O)$, then $M \not\subseteq k$
- So far all examples in the literature of abelian surfaces A/k s.t.

$$A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2 \text{ and } \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4 \quad (1)$$

had $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.

- Are there examples with $M \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$?
 - ▶ If not, one could not realize all Sato–Tate groups over a single k_0 : one would have $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \subseteq k_0$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \not\subseteq k_0$ at the same time!
 - ▶ The answer is yes, and constructing such a variety is part of the solution to the problem

A possible obstruction

- There are three Sato–Tate groups, called O , O_1 , and $J(O)$, whose component group contains S_4 .
- If A/k has one of these Sato–Tate groups $\rightsquigarrow \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4$
- This implies that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ with E a CM curve, say by M
- [FKRS12] prove that
 - ▶ If the group is O then $M \subseteq k$
 - ▶ If the group is O_1 or $J(O)$, then $M \not\subseteq k$
- So far all examples in the literature of abelian surfaces A/k s.t.

$$A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2 \text{ and } \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4 \quad (1)$$

had $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.

- Are there examples with $M \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$?
 - ▶ If not, one could not realize all Sato–Tate groups over a single k_0 : one would have $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \subseteq k_0$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \not\subseteq k_0$ at the same time!
 - ▶ The answer is yes, and constructing such a variety is part of the solution to the problem

A possible obstruction

- There are three Sato–Tate groups, called O , O_1 , and $J(O)$, whose component group contains S_4 .
- If A/k has one of these Sato–Tate groups $\rightsquigarrow \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4$
- This implies that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ with E a CM curve, say by M
- [FKRS12] prove that
 - ▶ If the group is O then $M \subseteq k$
 - ▶ If the group is O_1 or $J(O)$, then $M \not\subseteq k$
- So far all examples in the literature of abelian surfaces A/k s.t.

$$A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2 \text{ and } \text{Gal}(L/k) \supseteq S_4 \quad (1)$$

had $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.

- Are there examples with $M \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$?
 - ▶ If not, one could not realize all Sato–Tate groups over a single k_0 : one would have $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \subseteq k_0$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \not\subseteq k_0$ at the same time!
 - ▶ The answer is yes, and constructing such a variety is part of the solution to the problem

A more general question

Suppose that A/k is such that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ and E has CM by M .

- What are the possibilities for M ?
- Does the prescription of $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ impose extra restrictions on M ?
- Our techniques need to assume that $M \subseteq k$

• In fact, to derive the possibilities for M we assume $k = M$ (and then recover the case $k = \mathbb{Q}$ by base change)

A more general question

Suppose that A/k is such that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ and E has CM by M .

- What are the possibilities for M ?
- Does the prescription of $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ impose extra restrictions on M ?

• Our techniques need to assume that $M \subseteq k$

→ In fact, to control the possibilities for M we assume $k = M$

(this is the case for CM fields)

A more general question

Suppose that A/k is such that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ and E has CM by M .

- What are the possibilities for M ?
 - Does the prescription of $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ impose extra restrictions on M ?
-
- Our techniques need to assume that $M \subseteq k$
 - ▶ In fact, to control the possibilities for M we assume $k = M$
 - ▶ This solves the case $k = \mathbb{Q}$ (by base change)

A more general question

Suppose that A/k is such that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ and E has CM by M .

- What are the possibilities for M ?
 - Does the prescription of $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ impose extra restrictions on M ?
-
- Our techniques need to assume that $M \subseteq k$
 - ▶ In fact, to control the possibilities for M we assume $k = M$
 - ▶ This solves the case $k = \mathbb{Q}$ (by base change)

A more general question

Suppose that A/k is such that $A_{\bar{k}} \sim E^2$ and E has CM by M .

- What are the possibilities for M ?
 - Does the prescription of $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ impose extra restrictions on M ?
-
- Our techniques need to assume that $M \subseteq k$
 - ▶ In fact, to control the possibilities for M we assume $k = M$
 - ▶ This solves the case $k = \mathbb{Q}$ (by base change)

Outline

- 1 The Sato–Tate conjecture for elliptic curves
- 2 Sato–Tate for abelian varieties
- 3 Fields of definition of elliptic k -curves**
- 4 A field realizing all Sato–Tate groups in dimension 2

A result on the arithmetic of abelian surfaces

- Let A/\mathbb{Q} with $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$, where E has CM by M .
- $L =$ smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$. Obs: $M \subseteq L$.
 - Known that $\text{Gal}(L/M) \simeq C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6, D_2, D_3, D_4, D_6, A_4, S_4$
- $\mathcal{M}^1, \mathcal{M}^2 = M$'s of class number 1 and 2; $\mathcal{M}^{2,2} = M$'s with class group $C_2 \times C_2$

Theorem

The set of possibilities for M is

- Control field of def. of E up to isogeny (control the class group)
- Restrictions coming from representation theory (excludes cases)

A result on the arithmetic of abelian surfaces

- Let A/\mathbb{Q} with $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$, where E has CM by M .
- $L =$ smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$. Obs: $M \subseteq L$.
 - ▶ Known that $\text{Gal}(L/M) \simeq C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6, D_2, D_3, D_4, D_6, A_4, S_4$
- $\mathcal{M}^1, \mathcal{M}^2 = M$'s of class number 1 and 2; $\mathcal{M}^{2,2} = M$'s with class group $C_2 \times C_2$

Theorem

The set of possibilities for M is

- Control field of def. of E up to isogeny (control the class group)
- Restrictions coming from representation theory (excludes cases)

A result on the arithmetic of abelian surfaces

- Let A/\mathbb{Q} with $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$, where E has CM by M .
- $L =$ smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$. Obs: $M \subseteq L$.
 - ▶ Known that $\text{Gal}(L/M) \simeq C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6, D_2, D_3, D_4, D_6, A_4, S_4$
- $\mathcal{M}^1, \mathcal{M}^2 = M$'s of class number 1 and 2; $\mathcal{M}^{2,2} = M$'s with class group $C_2 \times C_2$

Theorem

The set of possibilities for M is

- Control field of def. of E up to isogeny (control the class group)
- Restrictions coming from representation theory (excludes cases)

A result on the arithmetic of abelian surfaces

- Let A/\mathbb{Q} with $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$, where E has CM by M .
- $L =$ smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$. Obs: $M \subseteq L$.
 - ▶ Known that $\text{Gal}(L/M) \simeq C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6, D_2, D_3, D_4, D_6, A_4, S_4$
- $\mathcal{M}^1, \mathcal{M}^2 = M$'s of class number 1 and 2; $\mathcal{M}^{2,2} = M$'s with class group $C_2 \times C_2$

Theorem

The set of possibilities for M is

$\text{Gal}(L/M)$	$\mathcal{M}(\text{Gal}(L/M))$
C_1	\mathcal{M}^1
C_2	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
C_3	\mathcal{M}^1
C_4	$(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})) \cup \mathcal{M}^1$
C_6	$(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})) \cup \mathcal{M}^1$
D_2	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
D_3	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
D_4	$(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})) \cup \mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
D_6	$(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})) \cup \mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
A_4	$\mathcal{M}^1 \setminus (\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7}))$
S_4	$(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})) \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \setminus (\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15}))$

- Control field of def. of E up to isogeny (control the class group)
- Restrictions coming from representation theory (excludes cases)

A result on the arithmetic of abelian surfaces

- Let A/\mathbb{Q} with $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$, where E has CM by M .
- $L =$ smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$. Obs: $M \subseteq L$.
 - ▶ Known that $\text{Gal}(L/M) \simeq C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6, D_2, D_3, D_4, D_6, A_4, S_4$
- $\mathcal{M}^1, \mathcal{M}^2 = M$'s of class number 1 and 2; $\mathcal{M}^{2,2} = M$'s with class group $C_2 \times C_2$

Theorem

The set of possibilities for M is

$\text{Gal}(L/M)$	$\mathcal{M}(\text{Gal}(L/M))$
C_1	\mathcal{M}^1
C_2	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
C_3	\mathcal{M}^1
C_4	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
C_6	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
D_2	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
D_3	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
D_4	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
D_6	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
A_4	$\mathcal{M}^1 \setminus \{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})\}$
S_4	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \setminus \{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-35}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-51}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-115})\}$

- Control field of def. of E up to isogeny (control the class group)
- Restrictions coming from representation theory (excludes cases)

A result on the arithmetic of abelian surfaces

- Let A/\mathbb{Q} with $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$, where E has CM by M .
- $L =$ smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$. Obs: $M \subseteq L$.
 - ▶ Known that $\text{Gal}(L/M) \simeq C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6, D_2, D_3, D_4, D_6, A_4, S_4$
- $\mathcal{M}^1, \mathcal{M}^2 = M$'s of class number 1 and 2; $\mathcal{M}^{2,2} = M$'s with class group $C_2 \times C_2$

Theorem

The set of possibilities for M is

$\text{Gal}(L/M)$	$\mathcal{M}(\text{Gal}(L/M))$
C_1	\mathcal{M}^1
C_2	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
C_3	\mathcal{M}^1
C_4	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
C_6	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
D_2	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
D_3	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
D_4	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
D_6	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
A_4	$\mathcal{M}^1 \setminus \{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})\}$
S_4	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \setminus \{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-35}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-51}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-115})\}$

- Control field of def. of E up to isogeny (control the class group)
- Restrictions coming from representation theory (excludes cases)

A result on the arithmetic of abelian surfaces

- Let A/\mathbb{Q} with $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$, where E has CM by M .
- $L =$ smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$. Obs: $M \subseteq L$.
 - ▶ Known that $\text{Gal}(L/M) \simeq C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6, D_2, D_3, D_4, D_6, A_4, S_4$
- $\mathcal{M}^1, \mathcal{M}^2 = M$'s of class number 1 and 2; $\mathcal{M}^{2,2} = M$'s with class group $C_2 \times C_2$

Theorem

The set of possibilities for M is

$\text{Gal}(L/M)$	$\mathcal{M}(\text{Gal}(L/M))$
C_1	\mathcal{M}^1
C_2	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
C_3	\mathcal{M}^1
C_4	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
C_6	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
D_2	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
D_3	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
D_4	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
D_6	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
A_4	$\mathcal{M}^1 \setminus \{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})\}$
S_4	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \setminus \{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-35}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-51}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-115})\}$

- Control field of def. of E up to isogeny (control the class group)
- Restrictions coming from representation theory (excludes cases)

A result on the arithmetic of abelian surfaces

- Let A/\mathbb{Q} with $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$, where E has CM by M .
- $L =$ smallest field of definition of $\text{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$. Obs: $M \subseteq L$.
 - ▶ Known that $\text{Gal}(L/M) \simeq C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6, D_2, D_3, D_4, D_6, A_4, S_4$
- $\mathcal{M}^1, \mathcal{M}^2 = M$'s of class number 1 and 2; $\mathcal{M}^{2,2} = M$'s with class group $C_2 \times C_2$

Theorem

The set of possibilities for M is

$\text{Gal}(L/M)$	$\mathcal{M}(\text{Gal}(L/M))$
C_1	\mathcal{M}^1
C_2	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
C_3	\mathcal{M}^1
C_4	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
C_6	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
D_2	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
D_3	$\mathcal{M}^1 \cup \mathcal{M}^2$
D_4	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
D_6	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \cup \mathcal{M}^{2,2}$
A_4	$\mathcal{M}^1 \setminus \{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})\}$
S_4	$\{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})\} \cup \mathcal{M}^2 \setminus \{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-35}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-51}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-115})\}$

- Control field of def. of E up to isogeny (control the class group)
- Restrictions coming from representation theory (excludes cases)

Outline

- 1 The Sato–Tate conjecture for elliptic curves
- 2 Sato–Tate for abelian varieties
- 3 Fields of definition of elliptic k -curves
- 4 A field realizing all Sato–Tate groups in dimension 2

A field realizing all Sato–Tate groups

Theorem

Set $k_0 := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40}, \sqrt{-51}, \sqrt{-163}, \sqrt{-67}, \sqrt{19 \cdot 43}, \sqrt{-57})$. Then, there exist 52 abelian surfaces defined over k_0 realizing all possible Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces defined over number fields.

- Used several methods to find the 52 abelian surfaces:
 - ✦ Base change the curves of [FKRS12] over \mathbb{Q}
 - ✦ For each C/\mathbb{Q} , we checked that $L \cap k_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ so that C_{k_0} works

A field realizing all Sato–Tate groups

Theorem

Set $k_0 := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40}, \sqrt{-51}, \sqrt{-163}, \sqrt{-67}, \sqrt{19 \cdot 43}, \sqrt{-57})$. Then, there exist 52 abelian surfaces defined over k_0 realizing all possible Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces defined over number fields.

- Used several methods to find the 52 abelian surfaces:
 - ▶ Base change the curves of [FKRS12] over \mathbb{Q}
 - ★ For each C/\mathbb{Q} , we checked that $L \cap k_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ so that C_{k_0} works
 - ▶ Finding an explicit equation of a genus two curve, and determining the endomorphisms of the Jacobian and the field of definition
 - ★ Search methods (help of Sutherland)
 - ★ looking into families with prescribed automorphisms (Cardona–Quer)
 - ▶ Restriction of Scalars construction
 - ★ A surface A/k with $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$ and $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$ and $M \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$
 - ★ We will see that $k = M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$

A field realizing all Sato–Tate groups

Theorem

Set $k_0 := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40}, \sqrt{-51}, \sqrt{-163}, \sqrt{-67}, \sqrt{19 \cdot 43}, \sqrt{-57})$. Then, there exist 52 abelian surfaces defined over k_0 realizing all possible Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces defined over number fields.

- Used several methods to find the 52 abelian surfaces:
 - ▶ Base change the curves of [FKRS12] over \mathbb{Q}
 - ★ For each C/\mathbb{Q} , we checked that $L \cap k_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ so that C_{k_0} works
 - ▶ Finding an explicit equation of a genus two curve, and determining the endomorphisms of the Jacobian and the field of definition
 - ★ Search methods (help of Sutherland)
 - ★ looking into families with prescribed automorphisms (Cardona–Quer)
 - ▶ Restriction of Scalars construction
 - ★ A surface A/k with $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$ and $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$ and $M \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$
 - ★ We will see that $k = M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$

A field realizing all Sato–Tate groups

Theorem

Set $k_0 := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40}, \sqrt{-51}, \sqrt{-163}, \sqrt{-67}, \sqrt{19 \cdot 43}, \sqrt{-57})$. Then, there exist 52 abelian surfaces defined over k_0 realizing all possible Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces defined over number fields.

- Used several methods to find the 52 abelian surfaces:
 - ▶ Base change the curves of [FKRS12] over \mathbb{Q}
 - ★ For each C/\mathbb{Q} , we checked that $L \cap k_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ so that C_{k_0} works
 - ▶ Finding an explicit equation of a genus two curve, and determining the endomorphisms of the Jacobian and the field of definition
 - ★ Search methods (help of Sutherland)
 - ★ looking into families with prescribed automorphisms (Cardona–Quer)
 - ▶ Restriction of Scalars construction
 - ★ A surface A/k with $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$ and $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$ and $M \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$
 - ★ We will see that $k = M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$

A field realizing all Sato–Tate groups

Theorem

Set $k_0 := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40}, \sqrt{-51}, \sqrt{-163}, \sqrt{-67}, \sqrt{19 \cdot 43}, \sqrt{-57})$. Then, there exist 52 abelian surfaces defined over k_0 realizing all possible Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces defined over number fields.

- Used several methods to find the 52 abelian surfaces:
 - ▶ Base change the curves of [FKRS12] over \mathbb{Q}
 - ★ For each C/\mathbb{Q} , we checked that $L \cap k_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ so that C_{k_0} works
 - ▶ Finding an explicit equation of a genus two curve, and determining the endomorphisms of the Jacobian and the field of definition
 - ★ Search methods (help of Sutherland)
 - ★ looking into families with prescribed automorphisms (Cardona–Quer)
 - ▶ Restriction of Scalars construction
 - ★ A surface A/k with $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \sim E^2$ and $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$ and $M \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$
 - ★ We will see that $k = M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$

Restriction of scalars construction

- $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$ and $k = M$, Hilbert class field $F = M(\sqrt{5})$
- Take L/k an extension with $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$, with $F \subseteq L$
 - ▶ Galois closure of the extension given by $x^4 - x^3 + 5x^2 - 5x + 2$
 - ▶ field with label 4.0.5780.1 in LMFDB
- E^* is a k -curve with CM by M .
 - ▶ For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)$ the property $\sigma(E^*) \cong E^*$ defines a normal subgroup $N \subseteq \text{Gal}(L/k)$ of order 24.
 - ▶ Then $L = E^*(N)$ and $\text{Gal}(L/k) \cong N \rtimes \text{Gal}(L/N)$ can be explicitly computed.
- Need to take a twist: $E = (E^*)_\beta$ for some appropriate $\beta \in L$.
- Consider $R = \text{Res}_{L/k} E$. It is defined over k and $R_L \sim E^{24}$.
 - ▶ R is a twisted form of E^* with $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ twisted group algebra
 - ▶ $\text{End}(R) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)} M_n(\sigma(L))$ with $n = [E^* : k]$
- We can compute explicitly c_E , and therefore $\text{End}^0(R)$.
 - ▶ Choosing β carefully, R decomposes in the right way
 - ▶ There is a simple factor A of dim 2 that has the sought properties

Restriction of scalars construction

- $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$ and $k = M$, Hilbert class field $F = M(\sqrt{5})$
- Take L/k an extension with $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$, with $F \subseteq L$
 - ▶ Galois closure of the extension given by $x^4 - x^3 + 5x^2 - 5x + 2$
 - ▶ field with label 4.0.5780.1 in LMFDB

$$E^* : y^2 = x^3 + (135\sqrt{5} - 1125)x + 6480\sqrt{5} - 54000$$

- E^* is a k -curve with CM by M .
- E^* is not a CM elliptic curve over k .
- Need to take a twist: $E = (E^*)_\beta$ for some appropriate $\beta \in L$.
- Consider $R = \text{Res}_{L/k} E$. It is defined over k and $R_L \sim E^{24}$.
- R is a simple factor of $\text{Res}_{L/k} E$ with Galois group $\text{Gal}(R/k) \simeq S_4$.
- We can compute explicitly c_E , and therefore $\text{End}^0(R)$.
 - ▶ Choosing β carefully, R decomposes in the right way
 - ▶ There is a simple factor A of dim 2 that has the sought properties

Restriction of scalars construction

- $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$ and $k = M$, Hilbert class field $F = M(\sqrt{5})$
- Take L/k an extension with $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$, with $F \subseteq L$
 - ▶ Galois closure of the extension given by $x^4 - x^3 + 5x^2 - 5x + 2$
 - ▶ field with label 4.0.5780.1 in LMFDB

$$E^* : y^2 = x^3 + (135\sqrt{5} - 1125)x + 6480\sqrt{5} - 54000$$

- E^* is a k -curve with CM by M .
- Need to take a twist: $E = (E^*)_\beta$ for some appropriate $\beta \in L$.
- Consider $R = \text{Res}_{L/k} E$. It is defined over k and $R_L \sim E^{24}$.
- We can compute explicitly c_E , and therefore $\text{End}^0(R)$.
 - ▶ Choosing β carefully, R decomposes in the right way
 - ▶ There is a simple factor A of dim 2 that has the sought properties

Restriction of scalars construction

- $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$ and $k = M$, Hilbert class field $F = M(\sqrt{5})$
- Take L/k an extension with $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$, with $F \subseteq L$
 - ▶ Galois closure of the extension given by $x^4 - x^3 + 5x^2 - 5x + 2$
 - ▶ field with label 4.0.5780.1 in LMFDB

$$E^* : y^2 = x^3 + (135\sqrt{5} - 1125)x + 6480\sqrt{5} - 54000$$

- E^* is a k -curve with CM by M .
 - ▶ For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)$ the isogeny $\mu_\sigma : {}^\sigma E \rightarrow E$ is defined over L .
- Need to take a twist: $E = (E^*)_\beta$ for some appropriate $\beta \in L$.
- Consider $R = \text{Res}_{L/k} E$. It is defined over k and $R_L \sim E^{24}$.
- We can compute explicitly c_E , and therefore $\text{End}^0(R)$.
 - ▶ Choosing β carefully, R decomposes in the right way
 - ▶ There is a simple factor A of dim 2 that has the sought properties

Restriction of scalars construction

- $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$ and $k = M$, Hilbert class field $F = M(\sqrt{5})$
- Take L/k an extension with $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$, with $F \subseteq L$
 - ▶ Galois closure of the extension given by $x^4 - x^3 + 5x^2 - 5x + 2$
 - ▶ field with label 4.0.5780.1 in LMFDB

$$E^* : y^2 = x^3 + (135\sqrt{5} - 1125)x + 6480\sqrt{5} - 54000$$

- E^* is a k -curve with CM by M .
 - ▶ For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)$ the isogeny $\mu_\sigma : {}^\sigma E \rightarrow E$ is defined over L
 - ▶ Then $c_{E^*} \in H^2(\text{Gal}(L/k), M^*)$ can be explicitly computed
- Need to take a twist: $E = (E^*)_\beta$ for some appropriate $\beta \in L$.
- Consider $R = \text{Res}_{L/k} E$. It is defined over k and $R_L \sim E^{24}$.
- We can compute explicitly c_E , and therefore $\text{End}^0(R)$.
 - ▶ Choosing β carefully, R decomposes in the right way
 - ▶ There is a simple factor A of dim 2 that has the sought properties

Restriction of scalars construction

- $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$ and $k = M$, Hilbert class field $F = M(\sqrt{5})$
- Take L/k an extension with $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$, with $F \subseteq L$
 - ▶ Galois closure of the extension given by $x^4 - x^3 + 5x^2 - 5x + 2$
 - ▶ field with label 4.0.5780.1 in LMFDB

$$E^* : y^2 = x^3 + (135\sqrt{5} - 1125)x + 6480\sqrt{5} - 54000$$

- E^* is a k -curve with CM by M .
 - ▶ For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)$ the isogeny $\mu_\sigma : {}^\sigma E \rightarrow E$ is defined over L
 - ▶ Then $c_{E^*} \in H^2(\text{Gal}(L/k), M^*)$ can be explicitly computed
- Need to take a twist: $E = (E^*)_\beta$ for some appropriate $\beta \in L$.
- Consider $R = \text{Res}_{L/k} E$. It is defined over k and $R_L \sim E^{24}$.
- We can compute explicitly c_E , and therefore $\text{End}^0(R)$.
 - ▶ Choosing β carefully, R decomposes in the right way
 - ▶ There is a simple factor A of dim 2 that has the sought properties

Restriction of scalars construction

- $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$ and $k = M$, Hilbert class field $F = M(\sqrt{5})$
- Take L/k an extension with $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$, with $F \subseteq L$
 - ▶ Galois closure of the extension given by $x^4 - x^3 + 5x^2 - 5x + 2$
 - ▶ field with label 4.0.5780.1 in LMFDB

$$E^* : y^2 = x^3 + (135\sqrt{5} - 1125)x + 6480\sqrt{5} - 54000$$

- E^* is a k -curve with CM by M .
 - ▶ For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)$ the isogeny $\mu_\sigma : {}^\sigma E \rightarrow E$ is defined over L
 - ▶ Then $c_{E^*} \in H^2(\text{Gal}(L/k), M^*)$ can be explicitly computed
- Need to take a twist: $E = (E^*)_\beta$ for some appropriate $\beta \in L$.
- Consider $R = \text{Res}_{L/k} E$. It is defined over k and $R_L \sim E^{24}$.
- We can compute explicitly c_E , and therefore $\text{End}^0(R)$.
 - ▶ Choosing β carefully, R decomposes in the right way
 - ▶ There is a simple factor A of dim 2 that has the sought properties

Restriction of scalars construction

- $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$ and $k = M$, Hilbert class field $F = M(\sqrt{5})$
- Take L/k an extension with $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$, with $F \subseteq L$
 - ▶ Galois closure of the extension given by $x^4 - x^3 + 5x^2 - 5x + 2$
 - ▶ field with label 4.0.5780.1 in LMFDB

$$E^*: y^2 = x^3 + (135\sqrt{5} - 1125)x + 6480\sqrt{5} - 54000$$

- E^* is a k -curve with CM by M .
 - ▶ For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)$ the isogeny $\mu_\sigma: {}^\sigma E \rightarrow E$ is defined over L
 - ▶ Then $c_{E^*} \in H^2(\text{Gal}(L/k), M^*)$ can be explicitly computed
- Need to take a twist: $E = (E^*)_\beta$ for some appropriate $\beta \in L$.
- Consider $R = \text{Res}_{L/k} E$. It is defined over k and $R_L \sim E^{24}$.
 - ▶ Gross-Ribet: $\text{End}^0(R) \simeq M^*[\text{Gal}(L/k)]$ (twisted group algebra)
- We can compute explicitly c_E , and therefore $\text{End}^0(R)$.
 - ▶ Choosing β carefully, R decomposes in the right way
 - ▶ There is a simple factor A of dim 2 that has the sought properties

Restriction of scalars construction

- $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$ and $k = M$, Hilbert class field $F = M(\sqrt{5})$
- Take L/k an extension with $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$, with $F \subseteq L$
 - ▶ Galois closure of the extension given by $x^4 - x^3 + 5x^2 - 5x + 2$
 - ▶ field with label 4.0.5780.1 in LMFDB

$$E^*: y^2 = x^3 + (135\sqrt{5} - 1125)x + 6480\sqrt{5} - 54000$$

- E^* is a k -curve with CM by M .
 - ▶ For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)$ the isogeny $\mu_\sigma: {}^\sigma E \rightarrow E$ is defined over L
 - ▶ Then $c_{E^*} \in H^2(\text{Gal}(L/k), M^*)$ can be explicitly computed
- Need to take a twist: $E = (E^*)_\beta$ for some appropriate $\beta \in L$.
- Consider $R = \text{Res}_{L/k} E$. It is defined over k and $R_L \sim E^{24}$.
 - ▶ Gross–Ribet: $\text{End}^0(R) \simeq M^{c_E}[\text{Gal}(L/k)]$ (twisted group algebra)
 - ▶ $M^{c_E}[\text{Gal}(L/k)] = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)} M \cdot u_\sigma$, with $u_\sigma \cdot u_\tau = c_E(\sigma, \tau) u_{\sigma\tau}$
- We can compute explicitly c_E , and therefore $\text{End}^0(R)$.
 - ▶ Choosing β carefully, R decomposes in the right way
 - ▶ There is a simple factor A of dim 2 that has the sought properties

Restriction of scalars construction

- $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$ and $k = M$, Hilbert class field $F = M(\sqrt{5})$
- Take L/k an extension with $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$, with $F \subseteq L$
 - ▶ Galois closure of the extension given by $x^4 - x^3 + 5x^2 - 5x + 2$
 - ▶ field with label 4.0.5780.1 in LMFDB

$$E^*: y^2 = x^3 + (135\sqrt{5} - 1125)x + 6480\sqrt{5} - 54000$$

- E^* is a k -curve with CM by M .
 - ▶ For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)$ the isogeny $\mu_\sigma: {}^\sigma E \rightarrow E$ is defined over L
 - ▶ Then $c_{E^*} \in H^2(\text{Gal}(L/k), M^*)$ can be explicitly computed
- Need to take a twist: $E = (E^*)_\beta$ for some appropriate $\beta \in L$.
- Consider $R = \text{Res}_{L/k} E$. It is defined over k and $R_L \sim E^{24}$.
 - ▶ Gross–Ribet: $\text{End}^0(R) \simeq M^{c_E}[\text{Gal}(L/k)]$ (twisted group algebra)
 - ▶ $M^{c_E}[\text{Gal}(L/k)] = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)} M \cdot u_\sigma$, with $u_\sigma \cdot u_\tau = c_E(\sigma, \tau) u_{\sigma\tau}$
- We can compute explicitly c_E , and therefore $\text{End}^0(R)$.
 - ▶ Choosing β carefully, R decomposes in the right way
 - ▶ There is a simple factor A of dim 2 that has the sought properties

Restriction of scalars construction

- $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$ and $k = M$, Hilbert class field $F = M(\sqrt{5})$
- Take L/k an extension with $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$, with $F \subseteq L$
 - ▶ Galois closure of the extension given by $x^4 - x^3 + 5x^2 - 5x + 2$
 - ▶ field with label 4.0.5780.1 in LMFDB

$$E^* : y^2 = x^3 + (135\sqrt{5} - 1125)x + 6480\sqrt{5} - 54000$$

- E^* is a k -curve with CM by M .
 - ▶ For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)$ the isogeny $\mu_\sigma : {}^\sigma E \rightarrow E$ is defined over L
 - ▶ Then $c_{E^*} \in H^2(\text{Gal}(L/k), M^*)$ can be explicitly computed
- Need to take a twist: $E = (E^*)_\beta$ for some appropriate $\beta \in L$.
- Consider $R = \text{Res}_{L/k} E$. It is defined over k and $R_L \sim E^{24}$.
 - ▶ Gross–Ribet: $\text{End}^0(R) \simeq M^{c_E}[\text{Gal}(L/k)]$ (twisted group algebra)
 - ▶ $M^{c_E}[\text{Gal}(L/k)] = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)} M \cdot u_\sigma$, with $u_\sigma \cdot u_\tau = c_E(\sigma, \tau) u_{\sigma\tau}$
- We can compute explicitly c_E , and therefore $\text{End}^0(R)$.
 - ▶ Choosing β carefully, R decomposes in the right way
 - ▶ There is a simple factor A of dim 2 that has the sought properties

Restriction of scalars construction

- $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-40})$ and $k = M$, Hilbert class field $F = M(\sqrt{5})$
- Take L/k an extension with $\text{Gal}(L/k) \simeq S_4$, with $F \subseteq L$
 - ▶ Galois closure of the extension given by $x^4 - x^3 + 5x^2 - 5x + 2$
 - ▶ field with label 4.0.5780.1 in LMFDB

$$E^* : y^2 = x^3 + (135\sqrt{5} - 1125)x + 6480\sqrt{5} - 54000$$

- E^* is a k -curve with CM by M .
 - ▶ For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)$ the isogeny $\mu_\sigma : {}^\sigma E \rightarrow E$ is defined over L
 - ▶ Then $c_{E^*} \in H^2(\text{Gal}(L/k), M^*)$ can be explicitly computed
- Need to take a twist: $E = (E^*)_\beta$ for some appropriate $\beta \in L$.
- Consider $R = \text{Res}_{L/k} E$. It is defined over k and $R_L \sim E^{24}$.
 - ▶ Gross–Ribet: $\text{End}^0(R) \simeq M^{c_E}[\text{Gal}(L/k)]$ (twisted group algebra)
 - ▶ $M^{c_E}[\text{Gal}(L/k)] = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/k)} M \cdot u_\sigma$, with $u_\sigma \cdot u_\tau = c_E(\sigma, \tau) u_{\sigma\tau}$
- We can compute explicitly c_E , and therefore $\text{End}^0(R)$.
 - ▶ Choosing β carefully, R decomposes in the right way
 - ▶ There is a simple factor A of dim 2 that has the sought properties

Fields of definition of elliptic k -curves with CM and Sato–Tate groups of abelian surfaces

Francesc Fité¹ Xevi Guitart²

¹Barcelona Graduate School of Mathematics (BGSMath)

²Universitat de Barcelona (UB)

December 2016