Research Article Detection of Complex Salient Regions

Sergio Escalera,^{1,2} Oriol Pujol,^{1,2} and Petia Radeva^{1,2}

¹ Computer Vision Center, Campus UAB, Edifici O, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain ² Departamento de Matemàtica Aplicada i Anàlisi, Universitat de Barcelona (UB), 08007 Barcelona, Spain

Correspondence should be addressed to Sergio Escalera, sescalera@cvc.uab.es

Received 16 October 2007; Revised 8 February 2008; Accepted 12 March 2008

Recommended by Irene Gu

The goal of interest point detectors is to find, in an unsupervised way, keypoints easy to extract and at the same time robust to image transformations. We present a novel set of saliency features based on image singularities that takes into account the region content in terms of intensity and local structure. The region complexity is estimated by means of the entropy of the gray-level information; shape information is obtained by measuring the entropy of significant orientations. The regions are located in their representative scale and categorized by their complexity level. Thus, the regions are highly discriminable and less sensitive to confusion and false alarm than the traditional approaches. We compare the novel complex salient regions with the state-of-the-art keypoint detectors. The presented interest points show robustness to a wide set of image transformations and high repeatability as well as allow matching from different camera points of view. Besides, we show the temporal robustness of the novel salient regions in real video sequences, being potentially useful for matching, image retrieval, and object categorization problems.

Copyright © 2008 Sergio Escalera et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. INTRODUCTION

Visual saliency [1] is a broad term that refers to the idea that certain parts of a scene are preattentively distinctive and create some form of immediate significant visual arousal within the early stages of the human vision system. The term "salient feature" has previously been used by many other researchers [2-5]. Although definitions vary, intuitively, saliency corresponds to the "rarity" of a feature [6]. In the framework of keypoint detectors, special attention has been paid to biologically inspired landmarks. One of the main models for early vision in humans, attributed to Neisser [7], is that consisting of preattentive and attentive stages. In the preattentive stage, only "pop-out" features are detected. These are the salient local regions of the image which present some form of discontinuity. In the attentive stages, relationships between these features are found, and grouping takes place in order to model object classes.

Interest point detectors have been used in multiple applications: matching for stereo pairs [8–11], image retrieval from large databases [12, 13], object retrieval in video [14, 15], shot location [16], and object categorization [17–20] to mention just a few. One of the most well-known keypoint detector is the Harris detector [21]. The method is based on searching for edges that are maintained at different scales to detect interest image points. Several variants and applications based on the Harris point detector have been used in the literature such as Harris-Laplacian [22], Affine variants [21], Lowe [23], and so forth. In [9], the authors proposed a novel region detector based on the homogeneity of the parts of the image. Moreover, the definition of the detected regions makes the description of the parts ambiguous when considered in object recognition frameworks. Schmid and Mohr [12] proposed the use of corners as interest points in image retrieval. They compared different corner detectors and showed that the best results were provided by the Harris corner detector [22]. In [24], a method for introducing the cornerness of the Harris detector in the method of [1] is proposed. However, the robustness of the method is directly dependent on the cornerness performance. Kadir and Brady [1] estimate the entropy of the gray levels of a region to measure its magnitude and scale of saliency. The detected regions are shown to be highly discriminable, avoiding the exponential temporal cost of analyzing dictionaries when used in object recognition models, as in [25]. However, using the gray level information, one can obtain regions with different complexity and with the same entropy values. Recently, the authors of [26] proposed the oriented-based SIFT descriptor such as a stability criterion to obtain stable scales for multiscale Harris and Laplacian points, with great success.

In this paper, we propose a model that allows to detect the most relevant image features based on their complexity. We use the entropy measure based on the color or gray level information and shape complexity (defined by means of a novel normalized pseudohistogram of orientations) to categorize the saliency levels. Including simple complexity constraints (the null-orientation concept and the adaptive threshold of orientations), the novel set of features is highly invariant to a great variety of image transformations and leads to a better repeatability and lower false alarm rate than the state-of-the-art keypoint detectors.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 explains our complex salient regions. In Section 3, we perform a set of experiments comparing the state-of-the-art region detectors. The validation is done over public image databases [27] and video sequences [28, 29] in order to test the repeatability, false alarm rate, and matching score of the detectors. Finally, Section 4 concludes the paper.

2. CSR: COMPLEX SALIENT REGIONS

In [1], Kadir and Brady introduce the gray-level saliency regions. The key principle behind their approach is that salient image regions exhibit unpredictability in their local attributes and over spatial scale. This section is divided in two parts. Firstly, we describe the background formulation, inspired by [1]. Secondly, we introduce the new metrics to estimate the saliency complexity.

2.1. Detection of salient regions

The approach to detect the position and scale of the salient regions uses a saliency estimation defined by the Shannon entropy at different scales at a given point. In this way, we obtain the entropy as a function in the space of scales. We consider significant saliency regions those that correspond to the maxima of this function, where the maximal entropy value is used to estimate the complex salient magnitude. Now, we define the notation and description of the stages of the process.

Let *H* be the entropy of a given region, S_p the space of significant scales, and *W* the relevance factor (weight). In the continuous case, the saliency measure γ is defined as a function of scale *s* and position *x* as follows:

$$\gamma(S_p, x) = W^T(S_p, x)H(S_p, x)$$
(1)

for each point *x* and the set of scales at which entropy peaks are obtained (S_p) . Then, the saliency is determined by weighting the entropy at those scales by *W*. The entropy $H(s_i, x)$, where $s_i \in S_p$, is defined as $H(s, x) = -\int p(I, s, x)\log_2 p(I, s, x)dI$, where p(I, s, x) is the probability density function of the intensity *I* as a function of scale *s* and position *x*. In the discrete case, for a region R_x of *n* pixels, the Shannon entropy is defined as follows:

$$H(R_x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{R_x}(i) \log_2 P_{R_x}(i),$$
(2)



FIGURE 1: Local maxima of function H_D in the scale space S.

where $P_{R_x}(d_i)$ is the probability of taking the value d_i in the local region R_x . The set of scales S_p is defined by the maxima of the function H in the space of scales $S_p = \{s : \partial H(s, x)/\partial s = 0, \partial^2 H(s, x)/\partial s^2 < 0\}$.

The entropy as a function of the scale space S is shown in Figure 1. In the figure, a point x is evaluated in the space of scales, obtaining two local maxima. These peaks of the entropy estimation correspond to the representative scales for the analyzed image point.

The relevance of each position of the saliency at its representative scales is defined by the interscale saliency measure $W(s, x) = s(\partial/\partial s)H(s, x)$.

Considering each scale $s \in S$ that are local maxima $(s \in S_p)$ and pixel x, we estimate W in the discrete case as a function of the change in magnitude of the entropy over the scales:

$$W(s,x) = s \frac{|H(s-1,x) - H(s,x)| + |H(s+1,x) - H(s,x)|}{2}.$$
(3)

Using the previous weighting factor, we assume that the significant salient regions correspond to that locations with high distortion in terms of the Shannon entropy and its peak magnitude.

2.2. Traditional gray level and orientation saliency

Kadir and Brady [1] used the gray-level entropy to define the saliency complexity of a given region. However, this approach fails in cases where the regions have different complexities. In Figure 2, one can observe different regions with the same amount of pixels for each gray level and different visual complexity. Note that the approach based on the gray-level entropy proposed by [1] gives the same entropy value, thus the same "rarity" level for all of them.

A natural and well-founded measure to solve this pathology is the use of complementary orientation information. In the same work [1], Kadir and Brady show preliminary results applying the orientation information in fingerprint images. However, the use of orientations as a measure of complexity involves several problems. In order to exemplify those problems, suppose that we have the regions (a) and (b) of Figure 3. Both regions have the same pdf (Figure 3(c)), but they contain different number of significant orientations (histograms of Figures 3(d) and



FIGURE 2: Regions of different complexity with the same gray-level entropy.



FIGURE 3: (a), (b) Two circular regions with the same content at different resolutions. (c) Same pdf for the regions (a) and (b). (d) Orientations histogram for (a), and (e) orientations histogram for (b).

3(e)). In a regular histogram, low magnitude gradient is mostly due to noise, and it is distributed uniformly over all bins. However, the pdf obtained in those cases remains the same because of the histogram normalization. We take into account these issues and we incorporate a novel orientations normalization procedure that evaluate properly the complexity level of each image region.

2.3. Normalized orientation entropy measure

The normalized orientation entropy measure is based on computing the entropy using a pseudohistogram of orientations. The usual way to estimate the histogram of orientations of a region is to use a range from 0 to 2π radians. Considering orientation independent from gradient magnitude hide the danger to mix signal with noise (usually, corresponding to low gradient magnitudes). In the limit case, when the gradient is zero, we have a singularity of the orientation function. On the other hand, these pixels normally correspond to homogeneous regions that can be useful to describe parts of the objects. To overcome this problem, we propose to introduce an additional bin that corresponds to the pixels with undetermined orientation that is called *null-orientation bin*. In this case, signal is not mixed with noise and at the same time, homogeneous regions are taken into account. Our proposed orientation metric consists of computing the saliency including the *null-orientations* in the modified orientation pdf.

First of all, we compute the relevant gradient magnitudes of an image to obtain the significant orientations. Instead of using an experimental threshold, we propose an adaptive orientation threshold for each particular image. For a given image, our method computes and normalized the gradient module $|\nabla(I)|$ in the range $[0 \cdots 1]$. Then, we estimate its histogram, and the Otsu method [30] is applied to obtain the adaptive threshold for orientations. The significant orientation locations obtained for two image samples are shown in Figure 4.

Considering the $k \le K$ most significant orientations using the adaptive threshold, where *K* is the total number of locations in a given region, we compute the orientations histogram h_O for *n* orientation bins. In this case, the number of *null-orientation* locations is fixed to K - k, and they are added to the histogram h_O as $h_O(n + 1) = K - k$.

The position n + 1 of the histogram h_0 is the *null-orientation* bin, and the modified pdf is obtained by means of

$$PDF_{O}(i) = \frac{h_{O}(i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} h_{O}(j)}, \quad \forall i \in [1, \dots, n].$$
(4)

Finally, the pdf PDF₀ is used to estimate the orientation entropy value of a given region. Note that the *null-orientation* bin n + 1 is not included in the entropy evaluation, since its goal is to normalize the first n bins according to the patch complexity (Observe that the entropy measure of the *nullorientation* bin usually makes the first n bins insignificant.)

2.4. Combining the saliency

In our particular case, the gray-level histogram is combined with the pseudohistogram of orientations. We experimentally tested that the performance of both information offers better performance that only uses the orientations or the gray-level entropy criterion. In this way, once estimated the two corresponding pdf, we apply (1), (2), and (3) to each one in the same way. The final measure is obtained by means of the simple addition $\gamma = \gamma_G + \gamma_O$, where γ_G and γ_O are estimated by (1) for the gray and orientation saliency, and γ is the result, which contains the final significant saliency positions, magnitudes (level of complexity), and scales. Other strategies, such as the product and logarithmic combinations of gray-level and orientation complexities,



FIGURE 5: (a) First maximal complexity region for gray-level entropy, (b) orientations entropy, (c) and combined entropy.

have also been tested to detect salient regions. However, the results were not satisfactory since these combinations were made to discard salient regions if one of the two saliency values is too small, independently of the dominance of the other component. This effect is unsatisfactory since the dominance of one component over the other may produce enough visual complexity to be considered as a salient region. On the other hand, a simple addition showed to maintain the salient regions in the cases, where one of the two measures is predominant enough. At the same time, it also allows to consider regions, where both saliency values introduce moderate complexity. The effect of the combined saliency measure is shown in the toy problem of Figure 5. Figure 5 has three representative objects of different complexities. We applied the gray-level entropy, the orientation entropy, and the combined saliency using simple addition. One can observe that the combined saliency measure selects the region with higher visual complexity (Figure 5(c)).

This new saliency measure gives a high complexity value, when the region contains different gray levels information (nonhomogeneous region) and the shape complexity is high (high number of gradient magnitudes at multiple orientations). The complexity to estimate the regions saliency is O(nl), where *n* is the number of image pixels, and *l* is the number of scales searched for each pixel. The complexity of the second step is O(e), where *e* is the number of extrema detected at the previous step. Note that an exhaustive search is not always required, and not all pixels and possible scales have to be estimated. However, the exhaustive search is relatively fast to compute (less than 1 second in an 800 × 640 medium resolution image).

An example of CSR responses for an image sample under different transformations is shown in Figure 6. Rotation, white noise addition, and affine distortion transformations are shown. Observe that the CSR regions are maintained in the set of transformations. The mean number of detected regions and the mean average region size for the traditional gray-level saliency and the novel salient criterion using the Caltech database samples [27] of Figure 7 are shown in Figure 8. All images are of medium resolution (approximately 600×600 pixels). The size of the regions corresponds to the radius of the detected circular regions in 20 bins between radius of length 5 and 100 pixels. Note that the number of detected regions considerably increase using the new metric, in particular it is about three times more. At the same time, the preferred regions for the novel salient regions are of intermediate complexity sizes, which typically implies a higher discriminable power [31].

As our orientations strategy normalize the input image it offers invariance to scalar changes in image contrast. The use of gradients is also robust to an additive contrast change in brightness, which makes the technique relatively insensitive to illumination changes. Invariance to scale is obtained by the scale search of local maximums, and the use of circular regions takes into account the global complexity of the inner of the regions, which also makes the strategy invariant to rotation.

3. RESULTS

To validate the presented methodology, we should determine data, measurements for the experiments, state-of-the-art methods to compare, and applications.

(a) *Data*. Images are obtained from the public Caltech repository [27] and the video sequences from [28, 29].

(b) *Measurements*. To analyze the performance of the proposed CSR, we perform a set of experiments to show the robustness to image transformations of the novel regions in terms of repeatability, false alarm rate, and matching score. The repeatability and matching score criteria are based on the evaluation framework of [31]. Besides, we include the false alarm rate measurement.



FIGURE 6: Image transformation tests for CSR responses: (a) input image, (b) initial CSR region detection, (c) 60 degree rotation, (d) white noise, and (e) affine transformation.



FIGURE 7: Caltech database samples.



FIGURE 8: Histograms of mean region size and number of detected regions for the samples of Figure 7.



FIGURE 9: Mean volume image for the most relevant detected landmarks on the set of Caltech motorbike database for gray saliency (a) and our proposed CSR (b).

(c) *State-of-the-art methods*. We compare the presented CSR with the Harris-Laplacian, Hessian-Laplacian, and the gray-level saliency. The parameters used for the region detectors are the default parameters given by the authors [1, 9, 21]. For the salient criteria of [1] and our CSR, we use 16 bins for the gray-level and orientations histograms. The number of regions obtained by each method strongly depends on the image since each one can contain different type of features.

(d) *Applications*. To show the wide applicability of the proposed CSR, we designed a broad set of experiments. First, we compare the performance of the presented CSR with the traditional approach of [1]. Second, we show the robustness to image transformations of the novel regions. Third, we match the detected regions of images taken from different camera points of view. And finally, we apply the technique on video sequences to analyze the temporal behavior by matching the detected regions in different frames.

3.1. Gray-level saliency versus CSR

We selected a set of 250 random motorbike samples from the motorbike Caltech database (the motorbike database was chosen to compare the salient responses of both detectors in a visual distinctive problem, and do not to try to solve a difficult problem) [27] and we estimated the highest saliency responses for each image using the gray-level saliency and the CSR regions. The mean volume image V of detected regions is shown in Figure 9. The volume image V is defined as

$$V = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{R_i},$$
 (5)

where I_{R_i} is the binary image with value 1 at those positions that fall into the detected circular regions in image I_i , and N is the total number of image samples. One can observe that the CSR responses recover better the motorbike, and the probability to detect each object part is higher. In Figure 10, two examples of detected CSR for the motorbike database are shown.



FIGURE 10: Detected CSR from Caltech motorbike images.

3.2. Repeatability and false alarm

In order to validate our results, we selected the samples showed in Figure 7 from the public Caltech repository database [27]. In this set of samples, we applied a set of transformations: rotation (10 degrees per step up to 100), white noise addition (0.1 of the variance per step up to 1.0), scale changes (15% per step up to 150), affine distortions (5 pixels *x*-axis distortion per step up to 50), and light decreasing (-0.05 per step of β down to -0.5, where the brightness of the new image is raised to the power of γ , where γ is $1/(1 + \beta)$).

Over the set of transformations, we apply the evaluation framework of [31] for the repeatability criterion. The repeatability rate measures how well the detector selects the same scene region under various image transformations. As we have a reference image for each sequence of transformations, we know the homographies from each transformed image to the reference image. Then, the accuracy is measured by the amount of overlap between the detected region and the corresponding region projected from the reference image with the known homography. Two regions are matched if they satisfy

$$1 - \frac{R_{\mu_a} \cap R_{H^T \mu_b H}}{R_{\mu_a} \cup R_{H^T \mu_b H}} < \epsilon_O, \tag{6}$$

where R_{μ} is the circular region obtained by the detector and H is the homography between the two images. We set the maximum overlap error ϵ_0 to 40%, as in [31]. Then, the



FIGURE 11: Repeatability and false alarm rate in the space of transformations: (a), (b) scale, (c), (d) rotation, (e), (f) white noise, (g), (h) affine invariants, and (i), (j) decreasing light.

repeatability becomes the ratio between the correct matches and the smaller number of detected regions in the two images. Besides, to take into account the amount of regions from the two images that do not produces matches, we introduce the *false alarm* rate criterion, defined as the ratio between the number of regions from the two images that do not match and the total number of regions from the two images. This measure is desirable to be as small as possible.

The mean results for all images checking the repeatability and false alarm ratios for gradually increasing transformations are shown in Figure 11. It is found that white noise addition (Figure 11(e)) and affine transformations



FIGURE 12: (a)–(c) Original images and region detection for (d)–(f) complex salient features, (g)–(i) gray-level entropy, (j)–(l) Harris-Laplacian, and (m)–(o) Hessian-Laplacian for a set of vehicle images from different camera points of view.

(Figure 11(g)) applied to some types of region detectors increase the amount of detected regions. Then, the general behavior in those cases is also the increment of repeatability because of the higher number of overlapping regions. In the same way, this effect also produces a higher increment in their corresponding false alarm curves. Observing the figures, one can see that Harris and Hessian Laplace normally obtain similar results, and Hessian Laplace tends to outperform the Harris Laplace detector. Gray-based salient regions give relatively low repeatability and high false alarm rate, and it is dramatically improved with the CSR regions, which obtain better performance than the rest of detectors in terms of repeatability, obtaining the highest percentage of correspondences for all types of image distortions. For the case of false alarm ratio, the CSR and the Hessian Laplace methods offer the best results, obtaining lower false alarm rate than the Harris Laplace and gray-level salient detectors.

3.3. Matching under different camera points of view

In this experiment, we considered different points of view of a camera on the same object. We used a set of 30 real samples from a vehicle. The set of images has been taken with a digital camera of 4 mega pixels from different points of views. Some used samples and the detected regions using the different region detectors are shown in Figure 12.

The matching evaluation is based on the criterion of [31]. A region match is deemed correct, if the overlap error ϵ_O is less than a given threshold. This provides the ground truth for correct matches. Only a single match is allowed for each region. The matching score is computed as the ratio between the number of correct matches and the smaller number of detected regions in the pair of images. Instead of fixing the ϵ_O value, we compute the matching score for a set of ϵ_O values, from 0.65 up to 0.2 decreasing by 0.05.



FIGURE 13: Matching percentage of the region detectors for the set of 30 car samples of different points of views in terms of regions intersection percentage.

The regions are described using the SIFT descriptor [23] and compared with the Euclidean distance. The overlap value is estimated using a warping technique to align manually the different samples. In Figure 13, the matching score for the region detectors for different ϵ_0 thresholds are shown. One can see the low matching percentage of the Hessian-Laplace due to the locality of the detected regions. The graylevel entropy and Hessian-Laplace detectors obtain better matching results. Finally, the CSR regions obtain the highest percentage of matching for all overlap errors values.

3.4. Temporal robustness

The next experiment is to apply the CSR regions to video sequences to show their temporal robustness. The temporal robustness of the algorithm is determined by a high score of matching salient features in a sequence of images. This matching is used in order to approximate the optical flow, and thus perform the tracking of the object features. We used the video images from the Ladybug2 spherical digital camera from Point Grey Research group [28]. The car system has six cameras that enable the system to collect video from more than 75% of the full sphere [28]. Furthermore, we also tested the method with road video sequences from the Geovan mobile mapping process from the Institut Cartogràfic de Catalunya [29], that has a stereo pair of calibrated cameras, which are synchronized with a GPS/INS system.

For both experiments we analyzed 100 frames using the SIFT descriptor [23] to describe the regions. The matching is done by similar regions descriptors in terms of the Euclidean distance in a neighborhood two times the diameter of the detected CSRs. The smoothed oriented maps from CSR matchings are shown in Figures 14 and 15. The smoothed oriented maps are obtained by filtering with a gaussian of size 5×5 and $\sigma = 3$ over the map of vectors obtained from the distances of matching each pair of regions. Figure 14(a) shows the oriented map in the first analyzed frame of [28]. Figure 14(b) focuses on the right region of (a). One can see



(b)

(a)

FIGURE 14: (a) Smoothed oriented CSR matches, (b) zoomed right region.



FIGURE 15: (a), (b) Samples, (c) smoothed oriented CSR matches, and (d) zoomed right region.

that the matched complex regions correspond to singularities in the video sequence and they approximate roughly the video movement. From the road experiment of Figure 15, the oriented map is shown in Figure 15(c). In this video sequence cars and traffic signs appear (Figures 15(a) and 15(b)). The amplified right region is shown in Figure 15(d). One can observe the correct movement trajectory of the road video sequences.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We presented a novel set of salient features, the complex salient regions. These features are based on complex image regions estimated using an entropy measure. The presented CSR analyzes the saliency of the regions using the graylevel and orientations information. We introduced a novel procedure to consider the anisotropic features of image pixels that makes the image orientations useful and highly discriminable in object recognition frameworks. We showed that simply including proper complexity constraints (the null-orientation concept and the adaptive threshold of orientations), the novel set of features is highly invariant to a great variety of image transformations and leads to a better repeatability and lower false alarm rate than the state-ofthe-art keypoint detectors. These novel salient regions show robust temporal behavior on real video sequences and can be potentially applied to matching under different camera points of view and image retrieval problems.

We are currently adapting the CSR regions to be invariant to affine transformations [32] and evaluating the methodology to design a multiclass object recognition approach.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work has been supported in part by TIN2006-15308-C02 and FIS ref. PI061290.

REFERENCES

- T. Kadir and M. Brady, "Saliency, scale and image description," International Journal of Computer Vision, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 83– 105, 2001.
- [2] P. J. Flynn, "Saliencies and symmetries: toward 3D object recognition from large model databases," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '92)*, vol., pp. 322–327, Champaign, Ill, USA, June 1992.
- [3] B. Schiele and J. L. Crowley, "Probabilistic object recognition using multidimensional receptive field histograms," in *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference in Pattern Recognition (ICPR '96)*, vol. 2, pp. 50–54, Vienna, Austria, 1996.
- [4] N. Sebe and M. S. Lew, "Salient points for content-based retrieval," in *Proceedings of the 12th British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC '01)*, pp. 401–410, Manchester, UK, September 2001.
- [5] K. N. Walker, T. F. Cootes, and C. Taylor, "Locating salient object features," in *Proceedings of the 9th British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC '98)*, pp. 557–566, Southampton, UK, September 1998.
- [6] D. Hall, B. Leibe, and B. Schiele, "Saliency of interest points under scale changes," in *Proceedings of the 13th British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC '02)*, Cardiff, UK, September 2002.
- [7] U. Neisser, "Visual search," *Scientific American*, vol. 210, no. 6, pp. 94–102, 1964.
- [8] A. Baumberg, "Reliable feature matching across widely separated views," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (CVPR '00), vol. 1, pp. 774–781, Hilton Head Island, SC, USA, June 2000.

- [9] J. Matas, O. Chum, M. Urban, and T. Pajdla, "Robust widebaseline stereo from maximally stable extremal regions," in *Proceedings of the 13th British Machine Vision Conference* (*BMVC'02*), vol. 1, pp. 384–393, Cardiff, UK, September 2002.
- [10] P. Pritchett and A. Zisserman, "Wide baseline stereo matching," in *Proceedings of the 6th IEEE International Conference* on Computer Vision (ICCV '98), pp. 754–760, Bombay, India, January 1998.
- [11] T. Tuytelaars and L. Gool, "Wide baseline stereo matching based on local, affinely invariant regions," in *Proceedings of the* 11th British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC '00, pp. 412– 425, Bristol, UK, September 2000.
- [12] C. Schmid and R. Mohr, "Local gray value invariants for image retrieval," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 530–535, 1997.
- [13] T. Tuytelaars and L. Van Gool, "Content-based image retrieval based on local affinely invariant regions," in *Proceedings of* the 3rd International Conference on Visual Information and Information Systems (VISUAL '99), pp. 493–500, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, June 1999.
- [14] J. Sivic and A. Zisserman, "Video google: a text retrieval approach to object matching in videos," in *Proceedings of* the 9th IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV '03), vol. 2, pp. 1470–1477, Nice, France, October 2003.
- [15] J. Sivic, F. Schaffalitzky, and A. Zisserman, "Object level grouping for video shots," in *Proceedings of the 8th European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV '04)*, vol. 3022 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 85–98, Prague, Czech Republic, May 2004.
- [16] F. Schaffalitzky and A. Zisserman, "Automated location matching in movies," *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, vol. 92, no. 2-3, pp. 236–264, 2003.
- [17] G. Csurka, C. Dance, C. Bray, and L. Fan, "Visual categorization with bags of keypoints," in *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Statistical Learning in Computer Vision (ECCV '04)*, pp. 1–22, Prague, Czech Republic, May 2004.
- [18] G. Dorko and C. Schmid, "Selection of scale-invariant parts for object class recognition," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV '03*, vol. 1, pp. 634–639, Nice, France, October 2003.
- [19] R. Fergus, P. Perona, and A. Zisserman, "Object class recognition by unsupervised scale-invariant learning," in *Proceedings* of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR '03), vol. 2, pp. 264–271, Madison, Wis, USA, June 2003.
- [20] A. Opelt, M. Fussenegger, A. Pinz, and P. Auer, "Weak hypotheses and boosting for generic object detection and recognition," in *Proceedings of the 8th European Conference* on Computer Vision (ECCV '04), vol. 3022 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 71–84, Prague, Czech Republic, May 2004.
- [21] K. Mikolajczyk and C. Schmid, "Scale & affine invariant interest point detectors," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 63–86, 2004.
- [22] C. Harris and M. Stephens, "A combined corner and edge detector," in *Proceedings of the 4th Alvey Vision Conference*, pp. 147–151, Manchester, UK, August-September 1988.
- [23] D. G. Lowe, "Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 91–110, 2004.
- [24] F. Fraundorfer and H. Bischof, "Detecting distinguished regions by saliency," in *Proceedings of the 13th Scandinavian Conference on Image Analysis (SCIA '03)*, vol. 2749 of *Lecture*

Notes in Computer Science, pp. 208–215, Springer, Halmstad, Sweden, June-July 2003.

- [25] T. Serre, M. Kouh, C. Cadieu, U. Knoblich, G. Kreiman, and T. Poggio, "A theory of object recognition: computations and circuits in the feedforward path of the ventral stream in primate visual cortex," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics* (AIM '05), vol. 36, Monterey, Calif, USA, July 2005.
- [26] G. Dorkó and C. Schmid, "Maximally stable local description for scale selection," in *Proceedings of the 9th European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV '06)*, vol. 3954 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 504–516, Graz, Austria, May 2006.
- [27] http://www.vision.caltech.edu/html-files/archive.html.
- [28] http://ptgrey.com/products/ladybug2/samples.asp.
- [29] http://www.icc.es/.
- [30] N. Otsu, "Threshold selection method from gray-level histograms," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 62–66, 1979.
- [31] K. Mikolajczyk, T. Tuytelaars, C. Schmid, et al., "A comparison of affine region detectors," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 65, no. 1-2, pp. 43–72, 2005.
- [32] T. Kadir, A. Zisserman, and M. Brady, "An affine invariant salient region detector," in *Proceedings of the 8th European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV '04)*, vol. 3021 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 228–241, Prague, Czech Republic, May 2004.

Special Issue on Personalization of Mobile Multimedia Broadcasting

Call for Papers

In recent years, the widespread adoption of multimedia computing, the deployment of mobile and broadband networks, and the growing availability of cheap yet powerful mobile have converged to gradually increase the range and complexity of mobile multimedia content delivery services for devices such as PDAs and cell phones. Basic multimedia applications are already available for current generation devices, and more complex broadcasting services are under development or expected to be launched soon, among which mobile and interactive television (ITV). Among the many challenging issues opened by these developments is the problem of personalization of such services: adaptation of the content to the technical environment of the users (device and network type) and to their individual preferences, providing personalized assistance for selecting and locating interesting programes among an overwhelming number of proposed services.

This special issue is intended to foster state-of-the-art research contributions to all research areas either directly applying or contributing to solving the issues related to digital multimedia broadcasting personalization. Topics of interest include (but are not limited to):

- Mobile TV
- Mobile multimedia broadcasting personalization
- Interactive broadcasting services/interactive television
- Personalization and multimedia home platform (MHP)
- Multimedia content adaptation for personalization
- User behavior and usage modelling
- Standards for modelling and processing (MPEG-21, CC/PP, etc.)
- Personalization issues in DVB-H, DMB, MediaFLO, CMMB, MBMS, and other systems
- Mobile web initiative
- Personalized multimedia and location-based services
- Security and digital rights management
- Applications for personalized mobile multimedia broadcasting with cost-effective implementation

Authors should follow the International Journal of Digital Multimedia Broadcasting manuscript format described at the journal site http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ijdmb/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	March 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	June 1, 2008
Publication Date	September 1, 2008

Guest Editors

Harald Kosch, University of Passau, 94030 Passau, Germany; harald.kosch@uni-passau.de

Jörg Heuer, Siemens AG, 80333 Munich, Germany; joerg.heuer@siemens.com

Günther Hölbling, University of Passau, 94030 Passau, Germany; guenther.hoelbling@uni-passau.de

László Böszörményi, University Klagenfurt, 9020 Klagenfurt, Austria; laszlo@itec.uni-klu.ac.at

David Coquil, University of Passau, 94030 Passau, Germany; david.coquil@uni-passau.de

Special Issue on Wireless Physical Layer Security

Call for Papers

Security is a critical issue in multiuser wireless networks in which secure transmissions are becoming increasingly difficult to obtain in highly mobile and distributed environments. In his seminal works of the late 1940s, Shannon formalized the concepts of capacity (as a transmission efficiency measure) and equivocation (as a measure of secrecy). Together with Wyner's fundamental formulation of the wiretap channel in the 1970s, this work laid the groundwork for the area of wireless physical area security. Interest in this area has exploded in recent years, motivated by the rise of wireless networking in general and by the increasing interest in large mobile networks with light infrastructure, which are extremely difficult to secure by traditional methods.

The objective of this special issue (whose preparation is carried out under the auspices of the EC Network of Excellence in Wireless Communications NEWCOM++) is to gather recent advances in the area of wireless physical layer security from the theoretical, such as the analysis of the secrecy capacity of various channel models, to more practical interests such as the development of codes and other communication schemes that can provide security in real networks. Suitable topics for this special issue dedicated to physical layer security include but are not limited to:

- Opportunistic secrecy
- The wiretap channel with feedback
- Authentication over the wiretap channel
- Information theoretic secrecy of fading channels
- Secrecy through public discussion
- Wireless key distribution
- Multiuser channels with secrecy constraints
- MIMO wiretap channels
- Relay-eavesdropper channel
- Scheduling for secure communications
- Secure communication with jamming
- Game theoretic approaches for secrecy
- Codes for secure transmission
- Secure compression
- Cognitive approaches for secrecy
- Physical Secrecy and Common Randomness
- Secrecy with channel uncertainty

Authors should follow the EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking manuscript format described at the journal site http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ wcn/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/, according to the following timetable.

Manuscript Due	October 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	January 1, 2009
Publication Date	April 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Mérouane Debbah, Alcatel-Lucent Chair on Flexible Radio, Supélec, 3 rue Joliot-Curie, 91192 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France; merouane.debbah@supelec.fr

Hesham El-Gamal, Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, Ohio State University, 205 Dreese Labs, 2015 Neil Avenue Columbus, OH 43210, USA; helgamal@ece.osu.edu

H. Vincent Poor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Princeton University, Engineering Quadrangle, Olden Street, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA; poor@princeton.edu

Shlomo Shamai, Department of Electrical Engineering, Technion, Technion City, Haifa 32000, Israel; sshlomo@ee.technion.ac.il

Special Issue on Patches in Vision

Call for Papers

The smallest primitive employed for describing an image is the pixel. However, analyzing an image as an ensemble of patches (i.e., spatially adjacent pixels/descriptors which are treated collectively as a single primitive), rather than individual pixels/descriptors, has some inherent advantages (i.e., computation, generalization, context, etc.) for numerous image and video content extraction applications (e.g., matching, correspondence, tracking, rendering, etc.). Common descriptors in literature, other than pixels, have been contours, shape, flow, and so forth.

Recently, many inroads have been made into novel tasks in image and video content extraction through the employment of patch-based representations with machine learning and pattern recognition techniques. Some of these novel areas include (but are not limited to):

- Object recognition/detection/tracking
- Event recognition/detection
- Structure from motion/multiview

In this special issue, we are soliciting papers from the image/video processing, computer vision, and pattern recognition communities that expand and explore the boundaries of patch representations in image and video content extraction.

Relevant topics to the issue include (but are not limited to):

- Novel methods for identifying (e.g., SIFT, DoGs, Harris detector) and employing salient patches
- Techniques that explore criteria for deciding the size and shape of a patch based on image content and the application
- Approaches that explore the employment of multiple and/or heterogeneous patch sizes and shapes during the analysis of an image
- Applications that explore how important relative patch position is, and whether there are advantages in allowing those patches to move freely or in a constrained fashion
- Novel methods that explore and extend the concept of patches to video (e.g. space-time patches/volumes)

- Approaches that draw upon previous work in structural pattern recognition in order to improve current patch-based algorithms
- Novel applications that extend the concept of patchbased analysis to other, hitherto, nonconventional areas of image and video processing, computer vision, and pattern recognition
- Novel techniques for estimating dependencies between patches in the same image (e.g., 3D rotations) to improve matching/correspondence algorithmic performance

Authors should follow the EURASIP Journal on Image and Video Processing manuscript format described at the journal site http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ivp/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscripts through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	May 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	August 1, 2008
Publication Date	November 1, 2008

Guest Editors

Simon Lucey, Robotics Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; slucey@ieee.org

Tsuhan Chen, ECE Department, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh PA, USA; tsuhan@cmu.edu

Special Issue on Software-Defined Radio and Broadcasting

Call for Papers

The wireless industry is experiencing an unprecedented increase in number and sophistication of wireless communication and broadcasting systems. As mobile devices are miniaturizing, more functionalities are required every time a new device emerges. Multiple concurrent communication protocols, digital TV, multimedia broadcasting, and navigation all require their own antenna, radio, and baseband processing. This convergence impacts both the cost and the size of the device.

Software-defined radios (SDRs), multiband antennas, reconfigurable radios, and cognitive radios offer a programmable and dynamically reconfigurable method of reusing hardware to implement frequency agile communications and broadcasting systems. An SDR-based device can dynamically change protocols and update communications or broadcasting systems over the air as a service provider allows. Digital signal processors (DSPs) are now capable of executing many billions of operations per second at power efficiency levels appropriate for handset deployment. This has brought SDR-based communication and broadcasting to prominence.

Promoting state-of-the-art contributions from different research and industrial fields directly involved or applicable in solving the issues and obstacles of frequency agile SDRs is the scope of this special issue. Topics of interest include (but are not limited to):

- SDR with multiband and smart antennas
- Theory and algorithm for SDR and software-defined broadcasting (SDB)
- Reconfigurable RF
- Reconfigurable baseband signal processing
- Digital signal processors (DSPs) for SDR and SDB
- System-on-chip SDR implementations and complexity analyses
- FPGA fabrics for SDR
- Radio systems design
- SDR applications to communication, IPTV and digital TV
- Processor architectures for SDR
- Compiler technology and development tools for SDR

- Communications system implementations for SDR
- Spectrum sensing and spectrum management
- Cognitive radio for broadcasting and communication
- Standardization on SDR, SDB and cognitive radio
- Testbeds and hardware prototypes

Authors should follow the International Journal of Digital Multimedia Broadcasting manuscript format described at the journal site http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ijdmb/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	September 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	December 1, 2008
Publication Date	March 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Daniel lancu, Sandbridge Technologies, Inc., Tarrytown, NY 10591, USA; diancu@sandbridgetech.com

John Glossner, Sandbridge Technologies, Inc., Tarrytown, NY 10591, USA; jglossner@sandbridgetech.com

Peter Farkas, University of Slovakia, Bratislava, Slovakia; farkas@ktl.elf.stuba.sk

Mihai Sima, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada V8W 2Y2; msima@ece.uvic.ca

Michael McGuire, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada V8W 2Y2; mmcguire@ece.uvic.ca

Special Issue on Recent Advances in Biometric Systems: A Signal Processing Perspective

Call for Papers

Biometrics a digital recognition technology that relies on highly distinctive physical and physiological characteristics of an individual is potentially a powerful and reliable method for personal authentication. The increasing importance of biometrics is underscored by the rapidly growing number of educational and research activities devoted to this field; and by a large number of annually organized Conferences and Symposia exclusively devoted to biometrics. Biometrics is a multidisciplinary field with researchers from signal processing, pattern recognition, computer vision, and statistics. Recently, a number of new important directions have been identified for biometric research, including processing and encoding of nonideal data, biometrics at a distance, and data quality assessment. Problems in nonideal biometric data include off-angle, occluded, blurred, and noisy images. Biometrics at a distance is concerned with recognition from video or snapshots of a biometric samples captured from a noncooperative moving individual. The goal of this special issue is to focus on recent advances in signal processing of biometric data that allow improved recognition performance through novel restoration, processing, and encoding; matching techniques capable of dealing with complexity and distortions in data acquired from a distance; recognition from biometric data acquired from unconstrained environments or complex experimental set ups; and the characterization of quality and its relationship with performance.

Topics of interest include, but are not limited to:

- Biometric-based recognition under unconstrained presentation and/or complex environment using the following:
 - Face
 - Iris
 - Fingerprint
 - Voice
 - Hand
 - Soft biometrics
- Multimodal biometric recognition using nonideal data
- Biometric image/signal quality assessment:
 - Face
 - \circ Iris

- Fingerprint
- Voice
- Hand
- Soft biometrics
- Biometric security and privacy
 - Liveness detection
 - Encryption
 - Cancelable biometrics

The special issue will focus both on the development and comparison of novel signal/image processing approaches and on their expanding range of applications

Authors should follow the EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing manuscript format described at the journal site http://www.hindawi.com/journals/asp/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	October 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	January 1, 2009
Publication Date	April 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Natalia A. Schmid, Lane Department of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA; natalia.schmid@mail.wvu.edu

Stephanie Schuckers, Electrical & Computer Engineering, Clarkson University, Potsdam, NY 13699, USA; sschucke@clarkson.edu

Jonathon Phillips, National Institute of Standard and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA; jonathon@nist.gov

Kevin Bowyer, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA; kwb@cse.nd.edu

Research Letters in Signal Processing

Why publish in this journal?

Research Letters in Signal Processing is devoted to very fast publication of short, highquality manuscripts in the broad field of signal processing. Manuscripts should not exceed 4 pages in their final published form. Average time from submission to publication shall be around 60 days.

Why publish in this journal?

Wide Dissemination

All articles published in the journal are freely available online with no subscription or registration barriers. Every interested reader can download, print, read, and cite your article.

Quick Publication

The journal employs an online "Manuscript Tracking System" which helps streamline and speed the peer review so all manuscripts receive fast and rigorous peer review. Accepted articles appear online as soon as they are accepted, and shortly after, the final published version is released online following a thorough in-house production process.

Professional Publishing Services

The journal provides professional copyediting, typesetting, graphics, editing, and reference validation to all accepted manuscripts.

Keeping Your Copyright

Authors retain the copyright of their manuscript, which is published using the "Creative Commons Attribution License," which permits unrestricted use of all published material provided that it is properly cited.

Extensive Indexing

Articles published in this journal will be indexed in several major indexing databases to ensure the maximum possible visibility of each published article.

Submit your Manuscript Now...

In order to submit your manuscript, please visit the journal's website that can be found at **http://www.hindawi.com/journals/rlsp/** and click on the "Manuscript Submission" link in the navigational bar.

Should you need help or have any questions, please drop an email to the journal's editorial office at **rlsp@hindawi.com**

ISSN: 1687-6911; e-ISSN: 1687-692X; doi:10.1155/RLSP

Hindawi Publishing Corporation 410 Park Avenue, 15th Floor, #287 pmb, New York, NY 10022, USA

HINDA

Research Letters in Signal Processing

Editorial Board

Tyseer Aboulnasr, Canada T. Adali, USA S. S. Agaian, USA Tamal Bose, USA Jonathon Chambers, UK Liang-Gee Chen, Taiwan P. Dan Dan Cristea, Romania Karen Egiazarian, Finland Fary Z. Ghassemlooy, UK Ling Guan, Canada M. Haardt, Germany Peter Handel, Sweden Alfred Hanssen, Norway Andreas Jakobsson, Sweden Jiri Jan, Czech Republic Soren Holdt Jensen, Denmark Stefan Kaiser, Germany C. Chung Ko, Singapore S. Maw Kuo, USA Miguel Angel Lagunas, Spain James Lam, Hong Kong Mark Liao, Taiwan Stephen Marshall, UK Stephen McLaughlin, UK Antonio Napolitano, Italy C. Richard, France M. Rupp, Austria William Allan Sandham, UK Ravi Sankar, USA John J. Shynk, USA A. Spanias, USA Yannis Stylianou, Greece Jarmo Henrik Takala, Finland S. Theodoridis, Greece Luc Vandendorpe, Belgium Jar-Ferr Kevin Yang, Taiwan